# **BIRATIONAL ASPECTS OF THE GEOMETRY OF**  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$

GAVRIL FARKAS

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The study of the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_q$  begins of course with Riemann, who in 1857 was the first to consider a space whose points correspond to isomorphism classes of smooth curves of genus  $g$ . By viewing curves as branched covers of  $\mathbf{P}^1$ , Riemann correctly computed the number of *moduli*, that is he showed that

$$
\dim(\mathcal{M}_g)=3g-3
$$

for all  $g \geq 2$ . Riemann is also responsible for the term moduli, meaning essential parameters for varieties of certain kind: "... es hängt also eine Klasse von Systemen gle*ichverzweigter* 2p + 1 *fach zusammenhangender Funktionen und die zu ihr geh¨orende Klassen* algebraischer Gleichungen von 3p − 3 stetig veränderlichen Grössen ab, welche die Moduln *dieser Klasse werden sollen*". The best modern way of reproving Riemann's result is via Kodaira-Spencer deformation theory. The first rigorous construction of  $\mathcal{M}_q$  was carried out by Mumford in 1965, in the book [\[GIT\]](#page-43-0). By adapting Grothendieck's "functorial ideology", Mumford, used Geometric Invariant Theory and developed a purely algebraic approach to study  $\mathcal{M}_q$ . He indicated that one has to study the *coarse moduli scheme* that is as close as any scheme can be to the *moduli stack* of smooth curves: Although the coarse moduli scheme exists over  $Spec(\mathbb{Z})$ , one has to pass to an algebraically closed field k to get a bijection between  $Hom(Spec(k), M_q)$  and isomorphism classes of smooth curves of genus g defined over  $k$ .

Despite the fact that the rigorous construction of  $\mathcal{M}_q$  was achieved so late, various geometric properties of the space  $\mathcal{M}_q$ , whose existence was somehow taken for granted, have been established. Hurwitz [\[Hu\]](#page-43-1) following earlier work of Clebsch, proved in 1891 that  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is irreducible by using Riemann's existence theorem and showing that the space parameterizing branched covers of **P** <sup>1</sup> having fixed degree and genus is con-nected. In 1915, Severi [\[S\]](#page-44-0) used plane models of curves to prove that when  $g \leq 10$ the space  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is unirational. For  $g \leq 10$  (and only in this range), it is possible to realize a general curve  $[C]\in \mathcal{M}_g$  as a nodal plane curve  $\Gamma\subset\mathbf{P}^2$  having minimal degree  $d = [(2g + 8)/3]$ , such that the nodes of  $\Gamma$  are general points in  $\mathbf{P}^2$ . In the same paper Severi conjectures that  $\mathcal{M}_q$  is unirational (or even rational!) for all g. This would correspond to being able to write down the general curve of genus  $g$  explicitly, in a family depending on 3g − 3 *free* parameters. Severi himself and later B. Segre made several attempts to prove the conjecture for  $g \geq 11$  using curves of minimal degree in  $\mathbf{P}^r$  with  $r \geq 3$ , cf. [\[Seg\]](#page-44-1), [\[God\]](#page-43-2).

Research partially supported by an Alfred P. Sloan Fellowship and the NSF Grant DMS-0500747. Work on this paper was started during a stay at the Mittag-Leffler Institute in Djursholm in 2007.

Severi's Conjecture seemed plausible and was widely believed until the 1980s: In [\[M3\]](#page-43-3) Mumford declares "How rational is the moduli space of curves" to be one of the main problems of present day mathematics. In "Curves and their Jacobians" [\[M2\]](#page-43-4) Mumford elaborates: "Whether more  $M_q$ 's  $g \geq 11$  are unirational or not is a very interesting *problem, but one which looks very hard too, especially if* g *is quite large*". Probably thinking by analogy with the well-understood case of moduli of elliptic curves (with level structure), Oort formulates in his 1981 survey [\[O\]](#page-44-2) a principle that naturally defined moduli spaces should be unirational: "*... generally speaking it seems that coarse moduli spaces tend to be close to rational varieties while high up in the tower of fine moduli spaces, these varieties possibly are of general type*".

It came as a major surprise when in 1982 Harris and Mumford [\[HM\]](#page-43-5) showed that Severi's Conjecture is maximally wrong in the sense that  $\mathcal{M}_q$  itself rather than a higher level cover is almost always a variety of general type!

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Theorem 1.1.** *For*  $g \geq 24$ *, the moduli space of stable curves*  $\mathcal{M}_q$  *is a variety of general type.* 

An easy consequence of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) is the following negative result:

**Corollary 1.2.** *For*  $g \geq 24$ *, if*  $[C] \in M_g$  *is a general curve and S is a surface containing C on* which  $C$  moves in a non-trivial linear system, then  $S$  is birational to  $C\times\mathbf{P}^1.$  A general curve *of genus* g ≥ 24 *does not occur in any non-trivial linear system on any non-ruled surface.*

The proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) uses in an essential way the Deligne-Mumford compactification  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  by means of stable curves. The key idea is to reduce the problem of producing pluricanonical forms on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  to a divisor class calculation on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ . For instance, in the case  $g = 2k - 1$ , Harris and Mumford consider the Hurwitz divisor

$$
\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^1:=\{[C]\in \mathcal{M}_g: \exists \enspace C\stackrel{k:1}{\to}\mathbf{P}^1\}.
$$

By computing the class of the closure  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^1_{g,k}$  of  $\mathcal{M}^1_{g,k}$  inside  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , it follows that for  $g=$  $2k-1\,\geq\,25$ , the canonical class  $K_{\overline{\mathcal M}_g}$  lies in the cone spanned inside  $\mathrm{Pic}(\mathcal M_g)_{\mathbb Q}$  by  $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}^1]$ , the Hodge class  $\lambda \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)$  and the irreducible components of the boundary  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  –  $\mathcal{M}_g$ . Since the class  $\lambda$  is big, that is, high multiples of  $\lambda$  have the maximal number of sections, it follows that high multiples of  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q}$  will also have the maximum number of sections, that is,  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is of general type. The main technical achievement of [\[HM\]](#page-43-5) is the calculation of the class  $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,k}^1]$  via the theory of *admissible coverings*. The case of even genus was initially settled in [\[H1\]](#page-43-6) for  $g = 2k - 2 \ge 40$  and later greatly simplified and improved by Eisenbud and Harris [\[EH3\]](#page-42-0) via the theory of *limit linear series*. In this survey, apart from reviewing the work of Harris, Mumford and Eisenbud, we present a different proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) by replacing the divisor  $\mathcal{M}_{g,k}^1$  by a Koszul divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ in the spirit of [\[F3\]](#page-43-7). It turns out that modulo Voisin's proof [\[V2\]](#page-44-3) of the generic Green Conjecture on syzygies of canonical curves, one obtains a very short proof of the Harris-Mumford Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-0) which does not resort to enumerative calculation on Hurwitz stacks of admissible coverings or to limit linear series.

After [\[HM\]](#page-43-5) there has been a great deal of work trying to describe the geometry of  $\mathcal{M}_q$  in the intermediate cases  $11 \leq g \leq 23$ . Extending Severi's result to genera  $g \geq 11$ 

requires subtle ideas and the use of powerful modern techniques, even though the idea of the proof is simple enough. Sernesi [\[Se1\]](#page-44-4) was the first to go past the classical analysis of Severi by proving that  $M_{12}$  is unirational. A few years later, M. C. Chang and Z. Ran proved that  $M_{11}$  and  $M_{13}$  are also unirational (cf. [\[CR1\]](#page-42-1)). In the process, they gave another proof for Sernesi's theorem for  $\mathcal{M}_{12}$ . The case  $g = 14$  remained open for a long time, until Verra, using liaison techniques as well as Mukai's work on models of canonical curves of genus at most 9, proved that  $\mathcal{M}_{14}$  is unirational. Verra's approach gives a much simpler proof of the unirationality of  $\mathcal{M}_q$  in the cases  $g = 11, 12, 13$  as well. We shall explain his main ideas following [\[Ve\]](#page-44-5).

Chang and Ran showed that  $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q) = -\infty$  for  $g = 15, 16$ , cf. [\[CR2\]](#page-42-2), [\[CR3\]](#page-42-3). This was recently improved by Bruno and Verra [\[BV\]](#page-42-4) who proved that  $\mathcal{M}_{15}$  is rationally connected. Precisely, they proved that a general curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{15}$  embedded via a linear series  $C\, \stackrel{|L|}{\longrightarrow}\, {\bf P}^6$ , where  $L\, \in\, W^6_{19}(C)$ , lies on a smooth complete intersection surface S ⊂ **P**<sup>6</sup> of type  $(2, 2, 2, 2)$ , in such a way that dim  $|\mathcal{O}_S(C)| = 1$ . This last statement follows via a standard exact sequence argument because such a surface  $S$  is canonical.

Turning to genus 16, it is proved in [\[CR3\]](#page-42-3) that  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}}$  is not a pseudo-effective class. It follows from [\[BDPP\]](#page-42-5), that this actually implies that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$  is uniruled.<sup>1</sup> The question whether  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$  or  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$  are actually unirational remains open and seems difficult. Note that the above mentioned argument from [\[BV\]](#page-42-4) actually implies that through a general point of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$  there passes a rational surface.

**Question 1.3.** What is the Kodaira dimension of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  for  $17 \leq g \leq 21$ ?

A partial result for  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}$  was obtained in [\[F1\]](#page-43-8) where the inequality  $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}) \geq 2$  is proved. Section 7 of this paper is devoted to the proof of the following result:

# **Theorem 1.4.** *The moduli space*  $\overline{M}_{22}$  *is of general type.*

Similar questions about the birational type of other moduli spaces have been studied. Logan [\[Log\]](#page-43-9) has proved that for all  $4 \leq g \leq 22$  there exists an explicitly known integer  $f(g)$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$  is of general type for  $n \ge f(g)$ . The bounds on the function  $f(g)$  have been significantly improved in [\[F3\]](#page-43-7). The moduli space  $A<sub>q</sub>$  of principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g is known to be of general type for  $g \ge 7$ due to results of Freitag [\[Fr\]](#page-43-10), Mumford [\[M5\]](#page-43-11) and Tai [\[T\]](#page-44-6) (For a comprehensive recent review of developments on the global geometry of  $A_{q}$ , see [\[Gru\]](#page-43-12)). Freitag was the first to go beyond the classical picture and show that for  $g \geq 17$ ,  $g \equiv 1 \mod 8$ , the space  $A<sub>q</sub>$  rather than one of its covers corresponding to "moduli with level structure" is of general type. Freitag's work seems to have been essential in making Mumford realize that Severi's Conjecture might be fundamentally false, see the discussion in [\[HM\]](#page-43-5) pg. 24. We mention that using e.g. the moduli space of Prym varieties, one can show that  $A_q$  is known to be unirational for  $q \leq 5$ , cf. [\[Don\]](#page-42-6), [\[Ve2\]](#page-44-7). The remaining question is certainly difficult and probably requires new ideas:

**Question 1.5.** What is the Kodaira dimension of  $A_6$ ?

<span id="page-2-0"></span><sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>More generally, it follows that  $\overline{\mathcal M}_g$  is uniruled whenever one can show that  $K_{\overline{\mathcal M}_g}$  is not a pseudoeffective class. I am grateful to J. McKernan for pointing this out to me.

Tai also discovered an important criterion (now called the *Reid-Shepherd-Barron-Tai* criterion) for canonical forms on the smooth locus of spaces with finite quotient singularities to extend to any resolution of singularities. He then showed that  $A<sub>g</sub>$  satisfies the Reid-Shepherd-Barron-Tai criterion. A similar analysis of singularities (which is needed whenever one shows that a coarse moduli space is of general type), in the case of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q}$ , has been carried out in [\[HM\]](#page-43-5) Theorem 1.

A very interesting moduli space (also in light of Section 6 of this paper and the connection with the Slope Conjecture), is the moduli space  $\mathcal{F}_g$  of polarized K3 surfaces [*S*, *h*] of degree  $h^2 = 2g - 2$ . On  $\mathcal{F}_g$  one considers the  $\mathbf{P}^g$ -bundle

$$
\mathcal{P}_g := \{ ([S, h], C) : [S, h] \in \mathcal{F}_g, C \in |h| \}
$$

together with the projections  $p_1 : \mathcal{P}_g \to \mathcal{F}_g$  and  $p_2 : \mathcal{P}_g -- > \mathcal{M}_g$ . The image  $\mathcal{K}_g :=$  $p_2(\mathcal{P}_q)$  is the locus of curves that can be abstractly embedded in a K3 surface. For  $g \geq 13$  the map  $p_2$  is generically finite (in fact, generically injective cf. [\[CLM\]](#page-42-7)), hence  $\dim(\mathcal{K}_q) = 19 + g$ . This locus appears as an obstruction for an effective divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ to have small slope, cf. Proposition [4.7.](#page-18-0) The geometry of  $\mathcal{F}_g$  has been studied in low genus by Mukai and in general, using automorphic form techniques, initially by Kondo [\[K\]](#page-43-13) and more recently, to great effect, by Gritsenko, Hulek and Sankaran [\[GHS\]](#page-43-14). Using Borcherds's construction of automorphic forms on locally symmetric domains of type IV, they proved that (any suitable compactification of)  $\mathcal{F}_g$  is of general type for  $g > 62$  as well as for  $g = 47, 51, 55, 58, 59, 61$ . The largest g for which  $\mathcal{F}_q$  is known to be unirational is equal to 20, cf. [\[M4\]](#page-43-15).

**Problem 1.6.** Prove purely algebro-geometrically that  $\mathcal{F}_q$  is of general type for g sufficiently large. Achieve this by computing the class of a geometric (Noether-Lefschetz, Koszul) divisor on  $\mathcal{F}_g$  and comparing this calculation against the canonical class.

More generally, it is natural to ask whether the time is ripe for a systematic study of the birational invariants of the Alexeev-Kollár-Shepherd-Barron moduli spaces of higher dimensional varieties (see [\[AP\]](#page-42-8), [\[H\]](#page-43-16) for a few beautiful, yet isolated examples when the geometry of such spaces has been completely worked out).

We end this discussion by describing the birational geometry of the moduli space  $\mathcal{R}_g$  classifying pairs  $[C,\eta]$  where  $[C]\in \mathcal{M}_g$  and  $\eta\in \text{Pic}^0(\overline{C})[2]$  is a point of order  $2$  in its Jacobian. This moduli space provides an interesting correspondence between  $\mathcal{M}_q$  and  $A_{g-1}$  via the natural projection  $\pi : \mathcal{R}_g \to \mathcal{M}_g$  and the *Prym map* 

$$
\mathfrak{Pr}_g: \mathcal{R}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g-1}.
$$

For  $g \leq 6$  the Prym map is dominant, thus a study of the birational invariants of  $\mathcal{R}_g$ gives detailed information about  $\mathcal{A}_{g-1}$  as well. For  $g \geq 7$  the Prym map  $\mathfrak{Pr}_g$  is generically injective (though never injective) and we view  $\mathcal{R}_g$  as a desingularization of the moduli space of Prym varieties  $\mathfrak{Pr}_g(\mathcal{R}_g)\subset\mathcal{A}_{g-1}.$  There is a good compactification  $\mathcal{R}_g$ of  $\mathcal{R}_g$ , by taking  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$  to be the coarse moduli space associated to the moduli stack of stable maps  $\overline{M}_g(\mathcal{B}\mathbb{Z}_2)$ . Note that the Galois covering  $\pi$  extends to a finite ramified covering  $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{R}}_q \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ . We have the following result [\[FL\]](#page-43-17):

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem 1.7.** *The compact moduli space of Pryms*  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_q$  *is of general type for*  $g > 13$  *and*  $g \neq 15$ *. The Kodaira dimension of*  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{15}$  *is at least* 1.

Thus there are genera (e.g.  $g = 14$ ) for which  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is unirational but  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$  is of general type. Note that  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$  is unirational for  $g \leq 7$  and it appears to be difficult to extend the range of g for which  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_q$  is unirational much further. An essential ingredient in the proof of Theorem [1.7](#page-3-0) is the analysis of the singularities of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_q$ . Kodaira-Spencer theory shows that singularities of  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_q$  correspond to automorphisms of Prym curves. A delicate local analysis shows that, even though the Reid-Shepherd-Barron-Tai criterion does not hold everywhere on  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$  (precisely, there is a codimension 2 locus of non-canonical singularities), for  $g \geq 4$  every pluricanonical form defined on the smooth part of  $\mathcal{R}_q$  extends to any desingularization. Equivalently, for any resolution of singularities  $\epsilon : R_g \to \overline{\mathcal{R}}_g$  and  $l \geq 0$ , there is an isomorphism of groups

$$
\epsilon^*: H^0(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{g,reg}, K_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g}^{\otimes l}) \stackrel{\cong}{\longrightarrow} H^0(\widehat{R}_g, K_{\widehat{R}_g}^{\otimes l}).
$$

Since  $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g = \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(\mathcal{B} \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , it makes sense to raise the following more general question:

**Problem 1.8.** For a finite group G, study the birational invariants (Kodaira dimension and singularities, Picard groups, cones of ample and effective divisors) of the moduli spaces of twisted stable maps  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q(\mathcal{B}G)$ .

We close by outlining the structure of the paper. In Section 2 we describe various attempts to prove that  $\mathcal{M}_q$  is unirational, starting with Severi's classical proof when  $g \le 10$  and concluding with Verra's recent work on  $\mathcal{M}_q$  for  $g \le 14$ . While our presentation follows [\[Ve\]](#page-44-5), several arguments have been streamlined, sometimes with the help of Macaulay 2. In Section 3 we present the structure of the Picard group of  $\mathcal{M}_q$  while in Section 4 we recall Harris and Mumford's spectacular application of the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem [\[HM\]](#page-43-5) in order to compute the canonical class  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g}$  and then discuss Pandharipande's recent lower bound on the slope of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . In Section 5 we present a much shorter proof of the Harris-Mumford Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) using syzygies of canonical curves. Relying somewhat on Mukai's earlier work, we highlight the importance of the locus  $\mathcal{K}_g \subset \mathcal{M}_g$  of curves lying on K3 surfaces in order to construct effective divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  having small slope and produce a criterion which each divisor of small slope must satisfy (Section 6). We then explain how to construct and compute the class of certain effective divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  defined in terms of Koszul cohomology of line bundles on curves (cf. [\[F2\]](#page-43-18), [\[F3\]](#page-43-7)). In Section 7 we prove that  $\overline{M}_{22}$  is of general type.

2. How RATIONAL IS 
$$
\mathcal{M}_g
$$
?

As a matter of terminology, if **M** is a Deligne-Mumford stack, we denote by M its coarse moduli space. This is contrary to the convention set in [\[ACV\]](#page-42-9) but for moduli spaces of curves it makes sense from a traditionalist point of view. Throughout the paper we denote by  $\overline{M}_g$  : Sch  $\rightarrow$  Sets the contravariant functor (stack) of stable curves of genus g, which associates to every scheme S the set  $\overline{M}_{q}(S)$  of isomorphism classes of relative stable curves  $f : X \to S$  of genus g.

The functor  $\overline{M}_q$  is not representable, for this would imply that each iso-trivial family of stable curves is actually trivial. This, of course, is not the case. To remedy this problem one looks for a compromise solution by retaining the requirement that the

moduli space of curves be a scheme, but relaxing the condition that it represent  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_q$ . The result is the *coarse moduli space of curves*  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  which is an irreducible projective variety of dimension  $3g - 3$  with finite quotient singularities, cf. [\[DM\]](#page-42-10), [\[GIT\]](#page-43-0), [\[M2\]](#page-43-4). For a family of stable curves  $[f: X \to S] \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_q(S)$  we shall denote by  $m_f: S \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  the associated moduli map.

## 2.1. **Brill-Noether theory.**

We recall a few basic facts from Brill-Noether theory, cf. [\[ACGH\]](#page-42-11). For a smooth curve C of genus g and for integers  $d, r \geq 0$ , one considers the cycle inside the Jacobian

$$
W_d^r(C) := \{ L \in \text{Pic}^d(C) : h^0(C, L) \ge r + 1 \}.
$$

The variety of linear series of type  $\mathfrak{g}^r_d$  is defined as

$$
G^r_d(C):=\{(L,V): L\in W^r_d(C), V\in \mathbf{G}(r+1,H^0(L))\}.
$$

There is an obvious forgetful map  $c: G_d^r(C) \to W_d^r(C)$  given by  $c(L, V) := L$ .

We fix a point  $l = (L, V) \in G_d^r(C)$ , and describe the tangent space  $T_l(G_d^r(C))$ . One has the standard identification  $T_L({\rm Pic}^d(C))=H^1(C,{\cal O}_C)=H^0(C,K_C)^\vee$  and we denote by

$$
\mu_0(L, V) : V \otimes H^0(C, K_C \otimes L^{\vee}) \to H^0(C, K_C)
$$

the *Petri map* given by multiplication of sections. The deformations of  $[L] \in \mathrm{Pic}^d(C)$  preserving the space of sections  $V$  correspond precisely to those elements  $\phi \in H^0(C, K_C)^{\vee}$ for which  $\phi_{\text{Im }\mu_0(L,V)} = 0$ . One obtains an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(V, H^0(C, L)/V) \longrightarrow T_l(G_d^r(C)) \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \mu_0^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0.
$$

It follows that  $G^r_d(C)$  is smooth and of dimension

$$
\rho(g, r, d) := g - (r + 1)(g - d + r)
$$

at the point *l* if and only if  $\mu_0(L, V)$  is injective.

The Gieseker-Petri Theorem states that if  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  is general, then the Petri map

$$
\mu_0(L): H^0(C, L) \otimes H^0(C, K_C \otimes L^{\vee}) \to H^0(C, K_C)
$$

is injective for *every*  $L \in \text{Pic}^d(C)$ . In particular it implies that both  $W^r_d(C)$  and  $G^r_d(C)$ are irreducible varieties of dimension  $\rho(g, r, d)$ . The variety  $G_d^r(C)$  is smooth while Sing  $W_d^r(C) = W_d^{r+1}(C)$ . Furthermore,  $W_d^r(C) = \emptyset$  if  $\rho(g, r, d) < 0$ .

The first rigorous proof of Petri's theorem is due to Gieseker. The original proof has been greatly simplified by Eisenbud and Harris, cf. [\[EH2\]](#page-42-12), using degeneration to curves of compact type and the theory of limit linear series. A very different proof, in which the degeneration argument is replaced by Hodge theory and the geometry of curves on K3 surfaces, has been found by Lazarsfeld [\[La1\]](#page-43-19).

If 
$$
[C, p] \in M_{g,1}
$$
 and  $l = (L, V) \in G_d^r(C)$ , we define the vanishing sequence of  $l$  at  $p$ 

$$
a^l(p): 0 \le a_0^l(p) < \ldots < a_r^l(p) \le d
$$

by ordering the set  $\{\text{ord}_p(\sigma)\}_{\sigma\in V}$ . The *ramification sequence* of *l* and *p* 

$$
\alpha^l(p) : 0 \le \alpha_0^l(p) \le \ldots \le \alpha_r(p) \le d-r
$$

is obtained from the vanishing sequence by setting  $\alpha_i^l(p) := a_i^l(p) - i$  for  $i = 0 \dots r$ .

The theory of degenerations of linear series (in the case of curves of compact type) has been beautifully developed by Eisenbud and Harris [\[EH1\]](#page-42-13). The major successes of the theory include a simple proof of the Brill-Noether-Petri theorem cf. [\[EH2\]](#page-42-12) and especially its essential use in the work on the Kodaira dimension of  $\mathcal{M}_q$  cf. [\[EH3\]](#page-42-0).

**Definition 2.1.** If  $X$  is a stable curve whose dual graph is a tree, a *limit linear series*  $\mathfrak{g}_d^r$ on X, consists of a collection of linear series

$$
l = \{l_Y = (L_Y, V_Y \subset H^0(L_Y)) \in G^r_d(Y) : Y \text{ component of } X\}
$$

satisfying the following compatibility condition: If  $p \in Y \cap Z$  is a node lying on two irreducible components  $Y$  and  $Z$  of  $X$ , then

$$
a_i^{l_Y}(p) + a_{r-i}^{l_Z}(p) \ge d
$$
, for  $i = 0...r$ .

Limit linear series behave well in families: If  $\mathbf{M}_g^* \subset \overline{\mathbf{M}}_g$  denotes the open substack of tree-like curves, then there exists an algebraic stack  $\sigma: \widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}^r_d \to \mathbf{M}^*_g$  classifying limit linear series. Each irreducible component of  $\mathfrak{G}^r_d$  has dimension at least  $3g-3+\rho(g,r,d)$ . In particular if  $l \, \in \, \overline{G}^r_d$  $\sigma^r_d(C)\,=\,\sigma^{-1}(C)$  is a limit  $\mathfrak{g}^r_d$  on a curve  $[C]\,\in\,\mathcal{M}^*_g$  belonging to a component of  $\overline{G}_d^r$  $d_d(C)$  of the expected dimension  $\rho(g,r,d)$ , then l can be smoothed to curves in an open set of  $\mathcal{M}_g$  (cf. [\[EH1\]](#page-42-13)).

## 2.2. **Severi's proof of the unirationality of**  $\mathcal{M}_q$  when  $g \leq 10$ .

We outline Severi's classical argument [\[S\]](#page-44-0) showing that  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is unirational for small genus (for a beautiful modern presentation see [\[AC1\]](#page-42-14)). The idea is very simple: One tries to represent the general curve  $[C]\in \mathcal{M}_g$  as a nodal plane curve  $\Gamma\subset{\mathbf P}^2$  of minimal degree d such that  $\rho(g, 2, d) \geq 0$  and then show that the nodes are in general position. Since the varieties of plane curves with *fixed* nodes are linear spaces, hence rational varieties, this implies that  $\mathcal{M}_q$  is unirational.

We fix  $d \geq (2g + 8)/3$  and set  $\delta := {d-1 \choose 2}$  $\binom{-1}{2} - g.$  We consider the *Severi variety* 

 $U_{d,g} := \{[\Gamma \hookrightarrow {\textbf P}^2] : \deg(\Gamma) = d, \ \Gamma \text{ is a nodal irreducible plane curve}, \ p_g(\Gamma) = g\}.$ 

It is well-known that  $U_{d,q}$  is an irreducible variety of dimension

$$
\dim U_{d,g} = \dim \mathcal{M}_g + \rho(g, 2, d) + \dim PGL(3) = 3d + g - 1.
$$

Furthermore, there exists a global desingularization map  $\nu_{d,g}: U_{d,g} - \nu_{d,g}$  which associates to each plane curve the class of its normalization. The Brill-Noether theorem guarantees that  $\nu_{d,g}$  is surjective. (Indeed, since  $\rho(g,2,d)\geq 0$  one has that  $G^2_d(C)\neq \emptyset$ and it is straightforward to prove that a general  $\mathfrak{g}^2_d$  corresponds to a nodal model of a general curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ , see for instance [\[EH1\]](#page-42-13)).

One defines the incidence correspondence between curves and their nodes

$$
\Sigma := \{ \big( [\Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^2], p_1 + \cdots + p_\delta \big) \in U_{d,g} \times \text{Sym}^{\delta}(\mathbf{P}^2) : \{p_1, \ldots, p_\delta\} = \text{Sing}(\Gamma) \},
$$

together with the projection  $\pi_2: \Sigma \to \mathrm{Sym}^{\delta}(\mathbf{P}^2).$  The fibres of  $\pi_2$  being linear spaces, in order to conclude that  $\Sigma$  is rational (and hence  $\mathcal{M}_q$  unirational), it suffices to prove that  $\pi_2$  is dominant. A necessary condition for  $\pi_2$  to be dominant is that

$$
\dim \Sigma = 3d + g - 1 \ge 2\delta.
$$

This, together with the condition  $\rho(g, 2, d) \geq 0$ , implies that  $g \leq 10$ . We have the following result [\[S\]](#page-44-0), [\[AC1\]](#page-42-14) Theorem 3.2:

**Theorem 2.2.** *We fix non-negative integers g, d, δ satisfying the inequalities* 

$$
\delta=\binom{d-1}{2}-g,\ \rho(g,2,d)\geq 0\ \text{and}\ \ 3d+g-1\geq 2\delta.
$$

*If*  $p_1,\ldots,p_\delta\in \mathbf{P}^2$  *are general points and*  $(n,\delta)\neq (6,9)$ *, then there exists an irreducible plane*  $c$ *urve*  $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{P}^2$  of degree d having nodes at  $p_1,\ldots,p_\delta$  and no other singularity. It follows that  $\mathcal{M}_q$  *is unirational for*  $q \leq 10$ *.* 

**Remark 2.3.** As explained Severi's argument cannot be extended to any  $\mathcal{M}_q$  for  $g \ge 11$ . In a similar direction, a classical result of B. Segre [\[Seg\]](#page-44-1) shows that if  $S$  is any algebraic surface and  $\Xi \subset S \times V$  is any algebraic system of *smooth* genus g curves contained in *S*, then whenever  $g > 6$ , the moduli map  $m_{\Xi}: V - \Sigma M_q$  cannot be dominant, that is, no algebraic system of smooth curves of genus  $g > 6$  with general moduli can lie on any given surface.

## 2.3. **Verra's proof of the unirationality of**  $\mathcal{M}_q$  for  $11 \leq g \leq 14$ .

We fix an integer  $g \ge 11$  and aim to prove the unirationality of  $\mathcal{M}_q$  by showing that a suitable component of a Hilbert scheme of curves

$$
\mathrm{Hilb}_C := \{ C \subset \mathbf{P}^r : p_a(C) = g, \deg(C) = d \},
$$

where  $\rho(g,r,d) \geq 0$  is unirational. The component Hilb<sub>C</sub> must have the property that the forgetful rational map

$$
m_C: \mathrm{Hilb}_C-->\mathcal{M}_g
$$

is dominant (in particular, the general point of  $Hilb<sub>C</sub>$  corresponds to a smooth curve  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$ ). To prove that  $\text{Hilb}_C$  is unirational we shall use an incidence correspondence which relates  $Hilb<sub>C</sub>$  to another Hilbert scheme of curves  $Hilb<sub>D</sub>$  parameterizing curves  $D \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  such that

$$
deg(D) = d'
$$
,  $g(D) = g'$  and  $H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D(1)) = 0$ 

(thus  $r = d' - g'$ ). If  $[D \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^r] \in Hilb_D$  is a smooth curve with  $H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D(1)) = 0$ , then trivially  $H^1(D, N_{D/\mathbf{P}^r}) = 0$ , which implies that  $Hilb_D$  is smooth at the point  $[D \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^r]$ and of dimension

$$
h^{0}(D, N_{D/\mathbf{P}^{r}}) = \chi(D, N_{D/\mathbf{P}^{r}}) = (r+1)d' - (r-3)(g'-1)
$$

(see e.g. [\[Se2\]](#page-44-8)). Moreover, there exists an open subvariety  $U_D \subset Hilb_D$  parameterizing smooth non-special curves  $D \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  such that (i) the moduli map  $m_D: \mathcal{U}_D \to \mathcal{M}_{g'}$  is dominant, and (ii) the restriction maps  $\mu_f:{\rm Sym}^fH^0(D,{\cal O}_D(1))\to H^0(D,{\cal O}_D(f))$  are of maximal rank for all integers  $f$ .

The correspondence between Hilb<sub>C</sub> and  $U_D$  is given by liaison with respect to hypersurfaces of a fixed degree  $f$ , that is, via the variety

$$
\Sigma := \{ (D, V) : [D \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^r] \in \mathcal{U}_D, \ V \in \mathbf{G} \big( r - 1, H^0(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_{D/\mathbf{P}^r}(f)) \big) \}.
$$

One has a projection map  $u : \Sigma \to \mathcal{U}_D$  given by  $u(D, V) := [D]$ , and a residuation map

$$
res: \Sigma \to \text{Hilb}_C, \text{res}(D, V) := [C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^r],
$$

where  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  is the scheme residual to D in the base locus of the linear system |V|. The morphism  $u : \Sigma \to \mathcal{U}_D$  has the structure of a Grassmann bundle corresponding to the vector bundle  $\mathcal F$  over  $\mathcal U_D$  with fibres  $\mathcal F(D)=H^0({\bf P}^r,\mathcal I_{D/{\bf P}^r}(f)),$  thus clearly  $\Sigma$ is unirational provided that Hilb<sub>D</sub> is unirational. Since  $U_D$  parameterizes non-special curves, proving its unirationality is equivalent to showing that the universal Picard variety  $\mathring{\mathfrak{Pic}}_{a'}^{d'}$  $_{g'}^{d'} \rightarrow {\mathcal M}_{g'}$  is unirational.

In order to show that  $m<sub>C</sub>$ : Hilb $<sub>C</sub>$  – >  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is dominant (and thus, that the</sub> general curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  is linked to a curve  $[D \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^r] \in \mathcal{U}_D$ ), it suffices to exhibit a single nodal complete intersection

$$
C \cup D = X_1 \cap \ldots \cap X_{r-1}
$$

with  $X_i \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{r}}(f)|$ , such that both  $C$  and  $D$  are smooth and the Petri map

$$
\mu_0(C): H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1))\otimes H^0(C, K_C\otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-1))\to H^0(C, K_C)
$$

is injective. Indeed, it is well-known (see e.g. [\[Se2\]](#page-44-8)) that via Kodaira-Spencer theory, the differential  $dm_{[C]}: T_{[C]}(\mathrm{Hilb}_C) \rightarrow T_{[C]}(\mathbf{M}_g)$  is given by the coboundary map  $H^0(C, N_{C/{\textbf P}^r}) \to H^1(C, T_C)$  obtained by taking cohomology in the exact sequence which defines the normal bundle of C:

(1) 
$$
0 \longrightarrow T_C \longrightarrow T_{\mathbf{P}^r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow N_{C/\mathbf{P}^r} \longrightarrow 0.
$$

On the other hand, one has the pull-back of the Euler sequence from **P** r

(2) 
$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1))^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(1) \longrightarrow T_{\mathbf{P}^r} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow 0,
$$

and after taking cohomology we identify  $H^1(T_{\mathbf{P}^r}\otimes \mathcal{O}_C)$  with the dual of the Petri map  $\mu_0(C)$ . Thus if  $\mu_0(C)$  is injective, then  $m_C$  is a dominant map around  $[C \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^r]$ .

The numerical invariants of  $C$  and  $D$  are related by well-known formulas for linked subschemes of  $\mathbf{P}^r$ , see [\[Fu\]](#page-43-20) Example 9.1.12: Suppose  $C \cup D = X_1 \cap \ldots \cap X_{r-1}$  is a nodal complete intersection with  $X_i \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(f)|$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r-1.$  Then one has that

$$
\deg(C) + \deg(D) = f^{r-1},
$$

<span id="page-8-1"></span>(4) 
$$
2(g(C) - g(D)) = ((r - 1)f - r - 1)(deg(C) - deg(D)),
$$
 and

(5) 
$$
\#(C \cap D) = \deg(C) \cdot ((r-1)f - r - 1) + 2 - 2g(C).
$$

<span id="page-8-3"></span><span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>We shall prove that if we choose

$$
(6) \t\t\t f=\frac{r+2}{r-2}\in\mathbb{Z},
$$

the condition that  $\mathcal{O}_D(1)$  be non-special is equivalent to  $h^0(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbf{P}^r}(f)) = r - 1$ . Furthermore, under the same assumption,  $\mu_0(C)$  is injective if and only if  $\mathcal{I}_{D/\mathbf{P}^r}(f)$  is globally generated.

To summarize, we have reduced the problem of showing that  $\mathcal{M}_q$  is unirational to showing (1) that the universal Picard variety  $\mathfrak{Pic}_{a'}^{d'}$  $_{g^{\prime }}^{a^{\prime }}$  is unirational and (2) that one can

find a non-special curve  $D \subset \mathbf{P}^{d'-g'}$  whose ideal is cut out by hypersurfaces of degree  $f.$ This program can be carried out provided one can solve the equations [\(3\)](#page-8-0), [\(4\)](#page-8-1), [\(5\)](#page-8-2) and [\(6\)](#page-8-3) while keeping  $\rho(g,r,d) \geq 0$ . To prove (1) Verra relies on Mukai's work on the geometry of Fano 3-folds and on the existence of models of canonical curves of genus  $g \leq 9$  as linear sections of certain rational homogeneous varieties. We first explain Mukai's work on existence of models of canonical curves of genus  $g \leq 9$ . The standard references for this part are [\[Mu1\]](#page-44-9), [\[Mu2\]](#page-44-10), [\[Mu3\]](#page-44-11):

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Theorem 2.4.** *We fix integers*  $g \leq 9, r \geq 3$  *and*  $d \geq g + 3$ *. Then the universal Picard variety*  $\mathfrak{P}ic^d_g$  is unirational. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,r}$  denotes the unique component of the Hilbert scheme of *curves whose generic point corresponds to a smooth curve*  $D \subset \mathbf{P}^r$  *with*  $\deg(D) = d$ *,*  $g(D) = d$ and  $H^1(D, \mathcal{O}_D(1)) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,r}$  is unirational as well.

The theorem is easily proved for  $g \leq 6$  because, in this case, the general canonical curve of genus  $g$  is a complete intersection in some projective space: For instance, the canonical model of the general curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_4$  is a  $(2,3)$  complete intersection in  $\mathbf{P}^4$ while the canonical model of the general curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_5$  is a  $(2,2,2)$  complete intersection in  $\mathbf{P}^4$ . In the cases  $g = 7, 8, 9$ , Mukai has found a rational homogeneous space  $G_g \subset \mathbf{P}^{\dim(G_g)+g-2}$  such that  $K_{\mathbf{G}_g} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_g}(-\dim(\mathbf{G}_g)+2)$ , with the property that the general canonical curve of genus  $\tilde{g}$  appears as a curve section of  $\mathbf{G}_q$ .

For  $g = 8$ , we consider a vector space  $V \cong \mathbb{C}^6$  and we introduce the Grassmannian of lines  $\mathbf{G}_8 := \mathbf{G}(2, V)$  together with the Plücker embedding  $\mathbf{G}(2, V) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\wedge^2 V)$ . Then  $K_{\mathbf{G}_8} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{G}_8}(-6)$ . If  $H \in \mathbf{G}(8, \wedge^2 V)$  is a general 7-dimensional projective subspace and  $C_H := \mathbf{G}_8 \cap \mathbf{P}(H) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}(H)$ , then by adjunction  $K_C = \mathcal{O}_C(1)$ . In other words, a transversal codimension 7 linear section of **G**<sup>8</sup> is a canonical curve of genus 8. Mukai showed that any curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_8$  such that  $W_4^1(C) = \emptyset$ , can be recovered in this way (cf. [\[Mu1\]](#page-44-9)).

The case  $g = 9$  is described in [\[Mu3\]](#page-44-11): One takes  $\mathbf{G}_9 := \mathbf{SpG}(3,6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$  to be the *symplectic Grassmannian*, that is, the Grassmannian of Lagrangian subspaces of a 6-dimensional symplectic vector space V. Then  $dim(G_9) = 6$  and  $K_{G_9} = \mathcal{O}_{G_9}(-4)$ . Codimension 5 linear sections  $G_9 \cap H_1 \cap ... \cap H_5 \subset \mathbf{P}^8$  are canonical curves of genus 9. A genus 9 curve is a transversal section of  $G_9$  if and only if  $W_5^1(C) = \emptyset$ . In particular a general  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_9$  is obtained through this construction. Finally, we mention Mukai's construction for  $g = 7$ , cf. [\[Mu2\]](#page-44-10): For a vector space  $V \cong \mathbb{C}^{10}$ , the subset of the Grassmannian  $\mathbf{G}(5, V)$  consisting of totally isotropic quotient spaces has two connected components, one of which is the 10-dimensional spinor variety  $G_7 \subset P^{15}$ .

*Proof of Theorem* [2.4.](#page-9-0) It is enough to deal with the cases  $g = 7, 8, 9$ . For each integer  $d \geq g+3$ , we fix non-zero integers  $n_1, \ldots, n_g$  such that

$$
2g-2+n_1+\cdots+n_g=d
$$

and note that for every  $[C]\in \mathcal{M}_g$ , the map  $C^g\to \mathrm{Pic}^d(C)$  sending

$$
(x_1,\ldots,x_g)\mapsto K_C\otimes \mathcal{O}_C(n_1x_1+\cdots+n_gx_g)
$$

is surjective. Then the rational map  $\phi: \mathbf{G}^{g}_{g}-->\mathfrak{Pic}^d_{g}$  defined by

$$
\phi(x_1,\ldots,x_g):=(C_x=\mathbf{G}_g\cap\mathbf{P}(\langle x_1,\ldots,x_g\rangle),\ K_{C_x}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{C_x}(n_1\ x_1+\cdots+n_g\ x_g)),
$$

is dominant. Thus  $\mathfrak{Pic}^d_g$  is unirational.

To establish the unirationality of  $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,r}$  when  $3 \leq r \leq d-g$ , we consider the dominant map  $f:\mathcal H_{d,g,r}\to \mathfrak{Pic}^d_g$  given by  $f([C\subset \mathbf{P}^r]):=[C,\mathcal O_C(1)].$  The fibres of  $f$  are obviously rational varieties. It follows that  $\mathcal{H}_{d,g,r}$  is unirational too.

Now we explain Verra's work cf. [\[Ve\]](#page-44-5), focusing on the cases  $g = 11, 14$ . Several (admittedly beautiful) arguments of classical geometric nature have been straightened or replaced by Macaulay 2 calculations in the spirit of [\[F2\]](#page-43-18), Theorems 2.7, 2.10 or [\[ST\]](#page-44-12).

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Theorem 2.5.** *The moduli space of curves*  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  *is unirational for*  $11 \leq g \leq 14$ *.* 

*Proof for*  $g = 11, 14$ *. We place ourselves in the situation when*  $f = (r + 2)/(r - 2) \in \mathbb{Z}$ *.* The relevance of this condition is that a surface complete intersection of type  $(f, \ldots, f)$ in **P** r is a canonical surface in **P** r . We consider a nodal complete intersection

$$
C \cup D = X_1 \cap \ldots \cap X_{r-1}
$$

with  $X_i \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(f)|$ , with C and D being smooth curves and with  $g(C) = g$ . Assuming that  $\mathcal{I}_{C\cup D/\mathbf{P}^r}(f)$  is globally generated (this will be the case in all the situations we consider), then  $C \cup D$  lies on a smooth complete intersection of  $r - 2$  hypersurfaces of degree f, say  $S := X_1 \cap ... \cap X_{r-2}$ . Thus S is a surface with  $K_S = \mathcal{O}_S(1)$  and moreover  $h^0(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_{S/\mathbf{P}^r}(f)) = r - 2$  (use the Koszul resolution of  $\mathcal{I}_{S/\mathbf{P}^r}$ ). From the exact sequence

$$
0\longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C/{\textbf P}^r}\longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{S/{\textbf P}^r}\longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-C)\longrightarrow 0,
$$

we find that  $h^0(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbf{P}^r}(f)) = h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C)) + h^0(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_{S/\mathbf{P}^r}(f)) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_S(D)) + r - 2$ (Note that  $C + D \in |{\mathcal O}_S(f)|$ ). Moreover, from the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(-D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(1) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_D(1) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

using also Serre duality, we obtain that

$$
h^{0}(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(D)) = h^{2}(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(H - D)) - h^{2}(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}(H)) = 1 + h^{1}(D, \mathcal{O}_{D}(1)).
$$

Therefore  $\mathcal{O}_D(1)$  is non-special if and only if

(7) 
$$
h^0(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbf{P}^r}(f)) = r - 1.
$$

Assume now that  $r = d' - g'$  and that  $g(D) = g'$ ,  $deg(D) = d' g(C) = g$  and  $deg(C) = d$ , where these invariants are related by the formulas [\(3\)](#page-8-0)-[\(5\)](#page-8-2). Using a simple argument involving diagram chasing, we claim that the Petri map

<span id="page-10-0"></span>
$$
\mu_0(C): H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(1)) \otimes H^0(C, K_C(-1)) \to H^0(C, K_C)
$$

is of maximal rank if and only if the multiplication map

(8) 
$$
\nu_D(f) : H^0(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_{D/\mathbf{P}^r}(f)) \otimes H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(1)) \to H^0(\mathbf{P}^r, \mathcal{I}_{D/\mathbf{P}^r}(f+1))
$$

is of maximal rank (see [\[Ve\]](#page-44-5), Lemma 4.4). Indeed, since  $K_C(-1) = \mathcal{O}_C(C)$ , we find that

$$
\operatorname{Ker}(\mu_0(C)) = \operatorname{Ker}\{\mu_S : H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C)) \otimes H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C+D)) \to H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(D+2C))\}.
$$

Next we note that  $\mathcal{I}_{D/S}(f) = \mathcal{O}_S(C)$  and then the claim follows by applying the Snake Lemma to the diagram obtained by taking cohomology in the sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{S/\mathbf{P}^r}(f) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{D/\mathbf{P}^r}(f) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^r}(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S(C) \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Thus to prove that the moduli map  $m_C$  : Hilb $_C$  –  $>$   $\mathcal{M}_q$  is dominant, it suffices to exhibit a smooth curve  $[D] \in Hilb_D$  such that the map  $\nu_D(f)$  is injective.

Having explained this general strategy, we start with the case  $g = 14$  and suppose that  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{14}$  is a curve satisfying Petri's theorem, hence dim  $W_8^1(C) = \rho(14, 1, 8) = 0$ . For each pencil  $A\in W^1_8$  we have that  $L:=K_C\otimes A^\vee\in W^6_{18}(C)$  and when  $[C]\in \mathcal{M}_{14}$ is sufficiently general, each such linear series gives rise to an embedding  $C\stackrel{|L|}{\hookrightarrow}\mathbf{P}^6.$  By Riemann-Roch we obtain that

$$
\dim \text{Ker}\{\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L) \to H^0(C, L^{\otimes 2})\} = \binom{8}{2} - (2 \deg(C) + 1 - g(C)) = 5,
$$

that is  $C$  lies on precisely  $5$  independent quadrics  $Q_1,\ldots,Q_5\in |\mathcal{O}_{{\textbf P}^6}(2)|.$  Writing

$$
Q_1 \cap \ldots \cap Q_5 = C \cup D,
$$

we find that  $g(D)=8$  and  $\deg(D)=14.$  In particular, we also have that  $H^1(D,\mathcal{O}_D(1))=$ 0. Thus we have reduced the problem of showing that  $\mathcal{M}_{14}$  is unirational to two questions:

(1)  $\mathfrak{Pic}^{14}_8$  is unirational. This has already been achieved (cf. Theorem [2.4\)](#page-9-0).

(2) If  $D \subset \mathbf{P}^6$  is a general smooth curve with  $deg(D) = 14$  and  $g(D) = 8$ , then the map

$$
\nu_D(2): H^0(\mathbf{P}^6, \mathcal{I}_{D/\mathbf{P}^6}(2)) \otimes H^0(D, \mathcal{O}_D(1)) \to H^0(\mathbf{P}^6, \mathcal{I}_{D/\mathbf{P}^6}(3))
$$

is an isomorphism. This is proved using liaison and a few classical arguments (cf. [\[Ve\]](#page-44-5), Propositions 5.5-5.16). We shall present a slightly more direct proof using Macaulay2.

When  $q = 11$ , we choose  $d = 14$  and  $r = 4$ , hence  $f = 3$ . We find that if  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{11}$ is general then dim  $W_{14}^4(C) = \rho(11, 4, 14) = 6$  and  $h^1(C, L) = 1$  for every  $L \in W_{14}^4(C)$ . Moreover, for a general linear series  $L \in W^4_{11}(C)$ ,

$$
\dim \text{Ker}\{\text{Sym}^3 H^0(C, L) \to H^0(C, L^{\otimes 3})\} = 3,
$$

(in particular condition [\(7\)](#page-10-0) is satisfied). Hence there are hypersurfaces  $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in$  $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^4}(3)|$  such that  $X_1 \cap X_2 \cap X_3 = C \cup D$ . Moreover,  $g(D) = 9$  and  $\deg(D) = 13$ , and the unirationality of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{11}$  has been reduced to showing that:

(1)  $\mathfrak{Pic}^{13}_9$  is unirational. This again follows from Theorem [2.4.](#page-9-0)

(2) If  $D \subset \mathbf{P}^4$  is a general smooth curve with  $deg(D) = 13$  and  $g(D) = 9$ , then the map

$$
\nu_D(3): H^0(\mathbf{P}^4, \mathcal{I}_{D/\mathbf{P}^4}(3)) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_D(1)) \to H^0(\mathbf{P}^4, \mathcal{I}_{D/\mathbf{P}^4}(4))
$$

is injective.  $\Box$ 

We complete the proof of Theorem [2.5,](#page-10-1) and we focus on the case  $q = 14$ . A similar argument deals with the case  $g = 11$ :

**Theorem 2.6.** If  $D \stackrel{|L|}{\hookrightarrow} P^6$  is the embedding corresponding to a general curve  $[D, L] \in \mathfrak{Pic}^{14}_8$ , *then the multiplication map*

$$
H^0(\boldsymbol{P}^6,\mathcal{I}_{D/\boldsymbol{P}^6}(2))\otimes H^0(\boldsymbol{P}^6,\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{P}^6}(1))\rightarrow H^0(\boldsymbol{P}^6,\mathcal{I}_{D/\boldsymbol{P}^6}(3))
$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* We consider 11 general points in  $\mathbf{P}^2$  denoted by  $p_1,\ldots,p_5$  and  $q_1,\ldots,q_6$  respectively, and define the linear system

$$
H \equiv 6h - 2(E_{p_1} + \dots + E_{p_5}) - (E_{q_1} + \dots + E_{q_6})
$$

on the blow-up  $S = \text{Bl}_{11}(\mathbf{P}^2)$ . Here h denotes the pullback of the line class from  $\mathbf{P}^2$ . Using the program Macaulay2 it is easy to check that  $S\stackrel{|H|}\hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^6$  is an embedding and the graded Betti diagram of  $S$  is the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}\n1 & - & - & - & - \\
- & 5 & - & - & - \\
- & - & 15 & 16 & 15\n\end{array}
$$

Thus  $S$  satisfies property  $(N_1)$ . To carry out this calculation we chose the 11 points in  $\mathbf{P}^2$ randomly using the Hilbert-Burch theorem so that they satisfy the Minimal Resolution Conjecture (see [\[ST\]](#page-44-12) for details on how to pick random points in **P** <sup>2</sup> using Macaulay). Next we consider a curve  $D \subset S$  in the linear system

(9) 
$$
D \equiv 10h - 3(E_{p_1} + E_{p_2}) - 4\sum_{i=3}^{5} E_{p_i} - E_{q_1} - E_{q_2} - 2\sum_{j=3}^{6} E_{q_j}
$$

By using Macaulay2, we pick  $D$  randomly in its linear system and then check that  $D$  is smooth,  $g(D) = 8$  and  $deg(D) = 14$ . We can compute directly the Betti diagram of D:

$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}\n1 & - & - & - & - \\
- & 7 & - & - & - \\
- & - & 35 & 56 & 35\n\end{array}
$$

Hence  $K_{1,1}(D, \mathcal{O}_D(1)) = 0$ , which shows that  $\nu_2(D)$  is an isomorphism. This last part also follows directly: Since  $S$  is cut out by quadrics, to conclude that  $D$  is also cut out by quadrics, it suffices to show that the map

$$
\nu_S: H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(H))\otimes H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(2H-D))\to H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(3H-D))
$$

is surjective (or equivalently injective). Since  $h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(2H - D)) = 2$ , from the base point free pencil trick we get that  $\text{Ker}(\nu(S)) = H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(D - H)) = 0$ , because  $D - H$ is clearly not effective for a general choice of the 11 points in **P** 2 .

We end this section, by pointing out that already existing results in [\[CR3\]](#page-42-3), coupled with recent advances in higher dimensional birational geometry, imply the following:

**Theorem 2.7.** *(Chang-Ran) The moduli space*  $\overline{M}_{16}$  *is a uniruled variety.* 

*Proof.* Chang and Ran proved in [\[CR3\]](#page-42-3) that  $\kappa(\overline{M}_{16}) = -\infty$ , by exhibiting an explicit collection of curves  $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \overline{\mathcal M}_{16}$ , with the property that each  $F_i$  lies on a divisor  $D_i \subset \overline{\mathcal M}_{16}$  such that  $F_i$  is nef as a curve on  $D_i$  with respect to Q-Cartier divisors, and moreover

$$
F_i \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n D_j > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.
$$

By explicit calculation they noted that  $F_i \cdot K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}} < 0$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . This clearly implies that  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}}$  is not pseudo-effective. Since pseudo-effectiveness of the canonical

.

bundle is a birational property, the canonical bundle of any smooth model of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{16}$  will lie outside the pseudo-effective cone as well. One can apply the the main result of [\[BDPP\]](#page-42-5) to conclude that  $\mathcal{M}_{16}$  is uniruled.

# 3. The Picard group of the moduli stack  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_q$

For a stable curve  $[C] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  one can consider its *dual graph* with vertices corresponding to the irreducible components of  $C$  and edges corresponding to nodes joining two components. By specifying the dual graph, one obtains the topological stratification of  $\mathcal{M}_g$ , where the codimension a strata correspond to the irreducible components of the closure of the locus of curves  $[C]$  having precisely a nodes. The closure of the codimension 1 strata are precisely the boundary divisors of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ : For  $1 \leq i \leq [g/2]$  we denote by  $\Delta_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  the closure of the locus of stable curves  $[C_1 \cup C_2]$ , where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ are smooth curves of genera *i* and  $g - i$  respectively. Similarly,  $\Delta_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  is the closure of the locus of irreducible 1-nodal stable curves. We have the decomposition

$$
\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g = \mathcal{M}_g \cup \Delta_0 \cup \ldots \cup \Delta_{[g/2]}.
$$

Next we describe the Picard group of the moduli stack  $\overline{M}_q$ . The difference between the Picard group of the stack  $\overline{M}_q$  and that of the coarse moduli space  $\overline{M}_q$ , while subtle, is not tremendously important in describing the birational geometry of  $\mathcal{M}_g$ . Remarkably, one can define  $Pic(\overline{M}_q)$  without knowing exactly what a stack itself is! This approach at least respects the historical truth: In 1965 Mumford [\[M1\]](#page-43-21) introduced the notion of a sheaf on the functor (stack)  $\overline{M}_q$ . One had to wait until 1969 for the definition of a Deligne-Mumford stack, cf. [\[DM\]](#page-42-10).

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Definition 3.1.** A sheaf L on the stack  $\overline{M}_q$  is an assignment of a sheaf  $\mathcal{L}(f)$  on S for every family  $[f: X \to S] \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_q(S)$ , such that for any morphism of schemes  $\phi: T \to S$ , if  $p_2 : X_T := X \times_S T \to T$  denotes the family obtained by pulling-back f, then there is an isomorphism of sheaves over  $T$  denoted by

$$
\mathcal{L}(\phi, f) : \mathcal{L}(p_2) \to \phi^*(\mathcal{L}(f)).
$$

These isomorphisms should commute with composition of morphisms between the bases of the families. Precisely, if  $\chi : W \to T$  is another morphism and

$$
\sigma_2: X_W := X_T \times_T W \to W \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_g(W),
$$

then  $\mathcal{L}(\phi\chi,f) = \chi^*\mathcal{L}(\phi,f) \circ \mathcal{L}(\chi,p_2)$ . If  $\mathcal L$  and  $\mathcal E$  are sheaves on  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g$ , we define their tensor product by setting

$$
(\mathcal{L}\otimes\mathcal{E})(f):=\mathcal{L}(f)\otimes\mathcal{E}(f)
$$

for each  $[f : X \to S] \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_q(S)$ .

A sheaf  $\mathcal L$  on  $\overline{\mathbf M}_g$  is a *line bundle* if  $\mathcal L(f) \in \text{Pic}(S)$  for every  $[f : X \to S] \in \overline{\mathbf M}_g(S)$ . We denote by Pic( $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g$ ) the group of isomorphism classes of line bundles on  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g$ .

Similarly, for  $i~\geq~0$ , one defines a codimension  $i$  cycle class  $\gamma~\in~A^i(\overline{\textbf{M}}_g)$ , to be a collection of assignments  $\gamma(f) \in A^i(S)$  for all  $[f\,:\,X\,\to\,S] \,\in\, \overline{\mathbf{M}}_g(S)$ , satisfying an obvious compatibility condition like in Defintion [3.1](#page-13-0)

**Example 3.2.** For each  $n \geq 1$  the *Hodge classes*  $\lambda_1^{(n)} \in Pic(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g)$  are defined by taking  $\lambda_1^{(n)}$  $\mathcal{L}_1^{(n)}(f) := c_1(\mathbb{E}_n(f))$ , where the assignment

$$
\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g(S) \ni [f: X \to S] \mapsto \mathbb{E}_n(f) := f_*(\omega_f^{\otimes n}),
$$

gives rise to a vector bundle  $\mathbb{E}_n$  on  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_q$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Clearly rank $(\mathbb{E}_1) = g$  and rank( $\mathbb{E}_n$ ) =  $(2n-1)(g-1)$  for  $n \geq 2$ . One usually writes  $\mathbb{E} := \mathbb{E}_1$ . Similarly, one can define the higher Hodge classes  $\lambda^{(n)}_i \in A^i(\overline{\textbf{M}}_g)$ , by taking

$$
\lambda_i^{(n)}(f) := c_i(\mathbb{E}_n(f)) \in A^i(S).
$$

It is customary to write that  $\lambda_i := \lambda_i^{(1)}$  $i_i^{(1)}$  and sometimes,  $\lambda := \lambda_1$ .

There is an obvious group homomorphism  $\rho$ : Pic( $\overline{M}_q$ )  $\to$  Pic( $\overline{M}_q$ ) defined by  $\rho({\mathcal L})(f):=m_f^*({\mathcal L})$  for every  ${\mathcal L}\in \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal M}_g)$  and  $[f:X\to S]\in \overline{\mathbf M}_g(S).$ 

To get to grips with the group  $Pic(M_q)$  one can also use the GIT realization of the moduli space and consider for each  $\nu \geq 3$  the Hilbert scheme Hilb<sub>g, $\nu$ </sub> of  $\nu$ -canonical stable embedded curves  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{(2\nu-1)(g-1)-1}$ . One has an isomorphism of varieties cf. [\[GIT\]](#page-43-0), [\[M2\]](#page-43-4)

$$
\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \cong \textbf{Hilb}_{\mathbf{g},\nu}/\mathit{PGL}\big((2\nu-1)(g-1)\big).
$$

Using this we can define an isomorphism of groups

$$
\beta: \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g) \to \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{Hilb}_{\mathbf{g},\nu})^{PGL((2\nu-1)(g-1))}.
$$

If  $\sigma$  :  $C_{g,\nu} \to \text{Hilb}_{g,\nu}$  denotes the universal  $\nu$ -canonically embedded curve, where we have that  $\mathcal{C}_{g,\nu}\subset {\bf{Hilb}_{g,\nu}}\times\mathbf{P}^{(2\nu-1)(g-1)-1}$ , we set  $\beta(\mathcal{L}):=\mathcal{L}(\sigma)\in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{Hilb}_{\mathbf{g},\nu}).$ 

To define  $\beta^{-1}$  we start with a line bundle  $L \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{Hilb}_{g,\nu})$  together with a fixed lifting of the  $PGL((2\nu-1)(g-1))$ -action on  $\textbf{Hilb}_{g,\nu}$  to L. For a family of stable curves  $f: X \to S$ , we choose a local trivialization of the projective bundle  $\mathbf{P}(f_*(\omega_f^{\otimes \nu}))$  $_{f}^{\otimes \nu})$ ), that is, we fix isomorphisms over  $S_{\alpha}$ 

$$
\mathbf{P}\Big((f_{\alpha})_*\big(\omega_{f_{\alpha}}^{\otimes\nu}\big)\Big)\cong\mathbf{P}^{(2\nu-1)(g-1)-1}\times S_{\alpha},
$$

where  $\{S_\alpha\}_\alpha$  is a cover of  $S$  and  $f_\alpha=f_{|f^{-1}(S_\alpha)}:X_\alpha\to S_\alpha.$  Since the Hilbert scheme is a fine moduli space, these trivializations induce morphisms  $g_{\alpha}: S_{\alpha} \to \textbf{Hilb}_{g,\nu}$  such that on  $S_\alpha \cap S_\beta$  the morphisms  $g_\alpha$  and  $g_\beta$  differ by an element from  $PGL((2\nu-1)(g-1))$ . The choice of the *L*-linearization ensures that the sheaves  $\{g_\alpha^*(L)\}_\alpha$  can be glued to form a sheaf which we call  $\beta^{-1}(L)(f) \in Pic(S)$ .

**Example 3.3.** If  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Hilb}_{\mathbf{g},\nu}}(\delta) = \otimes_{i=0}^{[g/2]} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Hilb}_{\mathbf{g},\nu}}(\delta_i)$  is the divisor of all singular nodal curves on the universal curve  $\sigma : C_{g,\nu} \to \text{Hilb}_{g,\nu}$ , then

$$
\rho([\Delta_0]) = \beta^{-1}(\delta_0), \ \rho([\Delta_1]) = 2\beta^{-1}(\delta_1), \ \rho([\Delta_i]) = \beta^{-1}(\delta_i) \ \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq [g/2].
$$

<span id="page-14-0"></span>To put it briefly, we write that  $\delta_i:=[\Delta_i]$  for  $i\neq 1$  and  $\delta_1:=\frac{1}{2}[\Delta_1]$  in  ${\rm Pic}(\overline{\textbf{M}}_g)_{\mathbb Q}.$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** *1) The group homomorphism*  $\rho : Pic(\overline{M}_q) \to Pic(\overline{M}_q)$  *is injective with torsion cokernel. Thus*

$$
\rho_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}}.
$$

*2)* For  $g \geq 3$ , the group  $Pic(\overline{M}_q)$  is freely generated by the classes  $\lambda$ ,  $\delta_0, \ldots, \delta_{\lfloor q/2 \rfloor}$ .

From now on we shall identify  $Pic(\overline{M}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}} = Pic(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . The first part of Theorem [3.4](#page-14-0) was established by Mumford in [\[M2\]](#page-43-4) Lemma 5.8. The second part is due to Arbarello and Cornalba [\[AC2\]](#page-42-15) and uses in an essential way Harer's theorem that  $H^2(\mathcal{M}_g, \mathbb Q) \cong \mathbb Q.$ Unfortunately there is no purely algebraic proof of Harer's result yet.

# 4. THE CANONICAL CLASS OF  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$

In this section we explain the calculation of the canonical class of  $\mathcal{M}_q$  in terms of the generators of Pic( $\overline{M}_q$ ), cf. [\[HM\]](#page-43-5). This calculation has been one of the spectacular successes of the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem. In order to apply GRR one needs however a good modular interpretation of the cotangent bundle  $\Omega^1_{\overline{\textbf{N}}}$  $\frac{1}{\mathbf{M}_g}.$  This is provided by Kodaira-Spencer theory. We first compute the canonical class of the stack **M**g, then we use the branched cover  $\overline{M}_q \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  to obtain the canonical class of the coarse moduli scheme  $\mathcal{M}_q$ .

For every stable curve  $[C]\in{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g}$  we denote by  $\Omega^1_C$  the sheaf of Kähler differentials and by  $\omega_C$  the locally free dualizing sheaf (see [\[Ba\]](#page-42-16) for a down-to-earth introduction to the deformation theory of stable curves). These sheaves sit in an exact sequence:

$$
0 \longrightarrow \text{Torsion}(\Omega_C^1) \longrightarrow \Omega_C^1 \longrightarrow \omega_C \xrightarrow{res} \bigoplus_{p \in \text{Sing}(C)} \mathbb{C}_p \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Kodaira-Spencer theory coupled with Serre duality provides an identification

$$
T_{[C]}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g) = \text{Ext}^1(\Omega_C^1, \mathcal{O}_C) = H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes \Omega_C^1)^{\vee}.
$$

One can globalize this observation and describe the cotangent bundle of  $M<sub>q</sub>$  as follows. We denote by  $\pi$  :  $\overline{M}_{q,1} \rightarrow \overline{M}_q$  the universal curve and we denote by  $\omega_{\pi}$  the relative dualizing sheaf and by  $\Omega^1_\pi$  the sheaf of relative Kähler differentials, respectively. Then by Kodaira-Spencer theory we have the identification  $\Omega^1_{\overline{\bm{\lambda}}}$  $\frac{1}{\mathbf{M}_g} = \pi_*(\Omega_\pi^1 \otimes \omega_\pi)$  and call the class  $K_{\overline{\textbf{M}}_g}=c_1(\Omega_{\overline{\textbf{M}}_g}^1)\in \text{Pic}(\overline{\textbf{M}}_g)$  the canonical class of the moduli stack  $\overline{\textbf{M}}_g.$  To compute the first Chern class of this push-forward bundle we use the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem.

Suppose that we are given a proper map  $f : X \to Y$  with smooth base Y and a sheaf  $\mathcal F$  on  $X$ . Then the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch (GRR) theorem reads

$$
\operatorname{ch}(f_!(\mathcal{F})) = f_*(\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \operatorname{td}(\Omega_f^1)) \in A^*(Y), \text{ where}
$$
  

$$
\operatorname{td}(\Omega_f^1) := 1 - \frac{c_1(\Omega_f^1)}{2} + \frac{c_1(\Omega_f^1)^2 + c_2(\Omega_f^1)}{2} + (\text{higher order terms})
$$

<span id="page-15-0"></span>denotes the Todd class.

**Remark 4.1.** One uses the GRR theorem to prove Mumford's relation

$$
\kappa_1 := \pi_*(c_1(\omega_\pi^2)) = 12\lambda - \delta \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g),
$$

where  $\delta := \delta_0 + \cdots + \delta_{[q/2]}$  is the total boundary (cf. [\[M1\]](#page-43-21) pg. 101-103). Similarly, for  $n \geq 2$  we have the relation (to be used in Section 5), cf. [\[M2\]](#page-43-4) Theorem 5.10:

$$
\lambda_1^{(n)} = \lambda + \binom{n}{2} \kappa_1 \in \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\textbf{M}}_g).
$$

To compute  $K_{\overline{\textbf{M}}_g}$  we set  $f=\pi:\overline{\textbf{M}}_{g,1}\to\overline{\textbf{M}}_g$ ,  $\mathcal{F}=\Omega^1_\pi\otimes\omega_\pi$ , hence  $\pi_*\mathcal{F}=\Omega^1_{\overline{\textbf{M}}_g}$  and  $R^i\pi_*\mathcal{F} = 0$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Using Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch we can write: (10)

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
K_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g} = \pi_* \Big[ \Big( 1 + c_1(\mathcal{F}) + \frac{c_1^2(\mathcal{F}) - 2c_2(F)}{2} + \cdots \Big) \cdot \Big( 1 - \frac{c_1(\Omega_\pi^1)}{2} + \frac{c_1(\Omega_\pi^1)^2 + c_2(\Omega_\pi^1)}{12} + \cdots \Big) \Big]_1.
$$

Next we determine the Chern classes of F. Suppose  $[f : X \to S] \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_g(S)$  is a family of stable curves such that both  $X$  and  $S$  are smooth projective varieties. Then  $\mathrm{codim}(\mathrm{Sing}(f),X)~=~2$  and the sheaf homomorphism  $\Omega_f^1$   $\rightarrow$   $\omega_f$  induces an isomorphism  $\Omega_f^1=\omega_f\otimes\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Sing}(f)}$  (in particular,  $\Omega_f^1$  is not locally free). This claim follows from a local analysis around each point  $p \in Sing(f)$ . Since the versal deformation space of a node is 1-dimensional, there exist affine coordinates  $x, y$  on the fibres of f and an affine coordinate t on  $S$ , such that locally around  $p$ , the variety  $X$  is given by the equation  $xy = t^n$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . By direct calculation in a neighbourhood of p,

$$
\Omega^1_f = \big(f^*\mathcal{O}_C\cdot dx + f^*\mathcal{O}_C\cdot dy\big)/\big(x dy + y dx\big)\cdot \mathcal{O}_C.
$$

Similarly, the dualizing sheaf  $\omega_f$  is the free  $\mathcal{O}_X$  module generated by the meromorphic differential  $\eta$  given by  $dx/x$  on the locus  $x \neq 0$  and by  $-dy/y$  on the locus  $y \neq 0$ , hence we find that locally  $\Omega_f^1 = \mathcal{I}_{x=y=t=0} \cdot \omega_f$ , which proves our claim.

The sheaves  $\omega_f$  and  $\Omega_f^1$  agree in codimension 1, thus  $c_1(\Omega_f^1) = c_1(\omega_f)$ . An application of Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch for the inclusion  $\text{Sing}(f) \hookrightarrow X$ , shows that  $c_2(\Omega_f^1)=[\mathrm{Sing}(f)].$  Then by the Whitney formula we obtain that  $c_1(\mathcal{F})=2c_1(\omega_f)$  and  $c_2(\mathcal{F}) = \lceil \text{Sing}(f) \rceil$ . Since this analysis holds for an arbitrary family of stable curves, the same relation must hold for the universal curve over  $\overline{M}_q$ . Returning to [\(10\)](#page-16-0), we find the following formula:

$$
K_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g} = \frac{13}{12} \pi_* (c_1(\omega_\pi)^2) - \frac{11}{12} \pi_* \big[ \text{Sing}(\pi) \big] = \frac{13}{12} \kappa_1 - \frac{11}{12} \delta = 13\lambda - 2\delta \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g).
$$

<span id="page-16-1"></span>**Theorem 4.2.** For  $g \geq 4$ , the canonical class of the coarse moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_q$  is given by the *formula*

$$
K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g} \equiv 13\lambda - 2\delta_0 - 3\delta_1 - 2\delta_2 - \cdots - 2\delta_{[g/2]} \in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g).
$$

*Proof.* We consider the morphism  $\epsilon : \overline{M}_q \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  which is simply branched along the divisor is the divisor  $\Delta_1\subset \overline{\mathcal M}_g$ , hence  $\epsilon^*([\Delta_1])=2\delta_1\in \operatorname{Pic}(\overline{\textbf{M}}_g).$  The Riemann-Hurwitz formula gives that  $K_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g} = \epsilon^* (\tilde{K}_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g}) + \delta_1$  which finishes the proof.

**Remark 4.3.** A slight difference occurs in the case  $g = 3$ . The morphism  $\epsilon : \overline{M}_3 \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_3$  is simply branched along both the boundary  $\Delta_1$  and the closure of the hyperelliptic locus

$$
\mathcal{M}_{3,2}^1 := \{ [C] \in \mathcal{M}_3 : W_2^1(C) \neq \emptyset \}.
$$

It follows that  $K_{\overline{\textbf{M}}_3} = \epsilon^*K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3} + \delta_1 + \rho([\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,2}^1])$ , hence  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3} = 4\lambda - \delta_0$ .

Using Theorem [4.2,](#page-16-1) we reformulate the problem of determining the Kodaira dimension of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  in terms of effective divisors: A sufficient condition for  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  to be of general type is the existence of an effective divisor

$$
D \equiv a\lambda - b_0 \delta_0 - \dots - b_{[g/2]} \delta_{[g/2]} \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{M}_g),
$$

with coefficients satisfying the following inequalities

<span id="page-17-0"></span>(11) 
$$
\frac{a}{b_0} < \frac{13}{2}, \quad \frac{a}{b_1} \le \frac{13}{3} \text{ and } \frac{a}{b_i} \le \frac{13}{2} \text{ for } 2 \le i \le [g/2].
$$

This formulation using [\(11\)](#page-17-0) clearly suggests the definition of the following nu-merical invariant of the moduli space cf. [\[HMo\]](#page-43-22): If  $\delta := \delta_0 + \cdots + \delta_{\lfloor q/2 \rfloor}$  is the class of the total boundary and  $Eff(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q) \subset Pic(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q)_\mathbb{R}$  denotes the cone of effective divisors, then we can define the *slope function*  $s : \text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  by the formula

$$
s(D) := \inf \{ \frac{a}{b} : a, b > 0 \text{ such that } a\lambda - b\delta - D \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{[g/2]} c_j \delta_j \text{, where } c_j \ge 0 \}.
$$

From the definition it follows that  $s(D)=\infty$  unless  $D\equiv a\lambda-\sum_{j=0}^{[g/2]}b_j\delta_j$  with  $a,b_j\geq 0$ for all j. It is also well-known that  $s(D) < \infty$  for any D which is the closure of an effective divisor on  $\mathcal{M}_q$ . In this case, one has that

$$
s(D) = \frac{a}{\min_{j=0}^{[g/2]} b_j}.
$$

We denote by  $s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q)$  the *slope of the moduli space*  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ , defined as

$$
s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g) := \inf \{ s(D) : D \in \text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g) \}.
$$

**Proposition 4.4.** We fix a moduli space  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  with  $g \geq 4$ . If  $s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g) < 13/2$  then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is of *general type. If*  $s(\overline{M}_q) > 13/2$  *then the Kodaira dimension of*  $\overline{M}_q$  *is negative.* 

*Proof.* If there exists  $D \in \text{Eff}(\mathcal{M}_g)$  with  $s(D) < s(K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g})$ , it follows that one can write  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g}\equiv \alpha\cdot \lambda+\beta\cdot D+\sum_{j=1}^{[g/2]}c_j\delta_j$ , where  $\alpha,\beta>0$  and  $c_j\geq 0$  for  $1\leq j\leq [g/2].$  Since the class  $\lambda\in \mathrm{Eff}(\mathcal{M}_g)$  is big, we obtain that  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g}\in \mathrm{int\, }\mathrm{Eff}(\mathcal{M}_g)$ , hence by definition  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is a variety of general type.

Any explicit calculation of a divisor class on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  provides an upper bound for  $s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q)$ . Estimating how small slopes of effective divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  can be, is the subject of the Harris-Morrison Slope "Conjecture" [\[HMo\]](#page-43-22):

### <span id="page-17-1"></span>**Conjecture 4.5.**

$$
s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g) \ge 6 + \frac{12}{g+1}.
$$

The conjecture would obviously imply that  $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q) = -\infty$  for  $q \leq 22$ . However Conjecture [4.5](#page-17-1) is false and counterexamples have been found in [\[FP\]](#page-43-23), [\[F2\]](#page-43-18), [\[F3\]](#page-43-7), [\[Kh\]](#page-43-24)-see also Section 6.1 of this paper.

There is a somewhat surprising connection between the Slope Conjecture and curves sitting on K3 surfaces. This has been first observed in [\[FP\]](#page-43-23): Given  $g \geq 1$  we consider a Lefschetz pencil of curves of genus  $g$  lying on a general  $K3$  surface of degree  $2g-2$  in  ${\bf P}^g.$  This gives rise to a curve  $B$  in the moduli space  $\overline{\mathcal M}_g.$  These pencils  $B$  fill up the entire moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_q$  for  $g \le 9$  or  $g = 11$  (see [\[Mu1\]](#page-44-9)), and the divisor  $\mathcal{K}_{10}$  of

<span id="page-18-1"></span>curves lying on a K3 surface for  $g = 10$ . When  $g \ge 13$ , the pencils B fill up the locus  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_q \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  of K3 sections of genus g and  $\dim(\overline{\mathcal{K}}_q) = 19 + g$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *We have the formulas*  $B \cdot \lambda = g + 1$ ,  $B \cdot \delta_0 = 6g + 18$  *and*  $B \cdot \delta_i = 0$  *for*  $j \neq 0$ *.* 

It will turn out that the locus  $K_g$  becomes an obstruction for an effective divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  to have small slope. The next result shows that in order to construct geometric divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  having small slope, one must search for geometric conditions which have codimension 1 in moduli, and which are a relaxation of the condition that a curve be a section of a K3 surface. This philosophy governs the construction of Koszul divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  carried out in [\[F2\]](#page-43-18), [\[F3\]](#page-43-7).

<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Proposition 4.7.** Let D be the closure in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  of an effective divisor on  $\mathcal{M}_g$ . If the inequality  $s(D) < 6 + 12/(g + 1)$  *holds, then D contains the locus*  $\overline{\mathcal{K}}_g$  *of curves lying on K3 surfaces.* 

*Proof.* We consider as above the curve  $B \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  corresponding to a Lefschetz pencil of curves of genus g on a general  $K3$  surface S. From Lemma [4.6](#page-18-1) we obtain that

$$
\frac{B \cdot \delta}{B \cdot \lambda} = 6 + \frac{12}{g+1} > s(D),
$$

which implies that  $B \cdot D < 0$  hence  $B \subset D$ . By varying both B and S inside the moduli space of polarized K3 surfaces, we obtain the inclusion  $\mathcal{K}_g \subset D$ .

Bounding  $s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q)$  from below, remains one of the main open problems in the field. There is a straightforward (probably far from optimal) way of obtaining a bound on  $s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q)$  by writing down any *moving curve*  $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ , that is, a curve which moves in an algebraic family  $\{R_t\}_{t\in T}$  of curves on  $\overline{\mathcal M}_g$  such that the set  $\bigcup_{t\in T}R_t$  is dense in  $\overline{\mathcal M}_g.$  One instance of a moving curve is a *complete intersection curve*  $R = H_1 \cap \cdots \cap H_{3g-4}$ , where  $H_i$  are numerically effective divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ .

If 
$$
R \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g
$$
 is a moving curve, then  $R \cdot D \ge 0$ , for any  $D \in \text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)$ , hence

$$
s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g) \geq \frac{R \cdot \delta}{R \cdot \lambda}.
$$

Obviously writing down and then computing the invariants of a moving curve in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ can be difficult. An experimental bound  $s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q) \geq O(1/g)$  was initially obtained in [\[HMo\]](#page-43-22) using Hurwitz schemes of covers of **P** 1 . A similar (but nevertheless different) bound is obtained by D. Chen [\[C\]](#page-42-17) using covers of elliptic curves.

## 4.1. **Pandharipande's lower bound on**  $s(\overline{M}_q)$ .

Recently, Pandharipande [\[P\]](#page-44-13) has found a short way of proving the inequality

$$
s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g) \ge O\left(\frac{1}{g}\right),\,
$$

in a way that uses only descendent integrals over  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}$  as well as some calculations on Hodge integrals that appeared in [\[FaP\]](#page-43-25). We explain the main idea of his proof.

One constructs a covering curve for  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  by pushing forward products of nef tautological classes on moduli spaces  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{q,n}$  via the morphisms forgetting the marked points. In the simplest incarnation of this method, one considers the universal curve  $\pi\,:\,\overline{\mathcal M}_{g,1}\,\to\,\overline{\mathcal M}_g$  curve and the nef tautological class  $\psi_1\,=\,c_1(\omega_\pi)\,\in\,A^1(\overline{\mathcal M}_g).$  Then  $\pi_*(\psi_1^{3g-4}$  $\binom{3g-4}{1} \in A_1(\mathcal{M}_g)$  is a covering curve class, in particular for every divisor

$$
D \equiv a\lambda - \sum_{i=0}^{[g/2]} b_i \delta_i \in \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g)
$$

which does not contain boundary components, we have that  $\pi_*(\psi_1^{3g-4})$  $j_1^{3g-4}) \cdot D \geq 0$ , hence,

$$
s(D) \ge \frac{a}{b_0} \ge \frac{\pi_*(\psi_1^{3g-3}) \cdot \delta_0}{\pi_*(\psi_1^{3g-3}) \cdot \lambda} = \frac{\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \delta_0 \cdot \psi_1^{3g-3}}{\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \lambda \cdot \psi_1^{3g-3}}.
$$

We outline the calculation of the numerator appearing in this fraction. For the degree 2 natural map

$$
\epsilon: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,3} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}, \quad \epsilon([C,p,x,y]) := \left[\frac{C}{x \sim y}, p\right],
$$

one has that  $\epsilon_*([\overline{\mathcal M}_{g-1,3}]=2\delta_0\in A^1(\overline{\mathcal M}_{g,1})$ , hence via the push-pull formula we find,

$$
\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \delta_0 \cdot \psi_1^{3g-3} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,3}} \psi_1^{3g-3} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,1}} \psi_1^{3g-5}
$$

.

The last equality here is an easy consequence of the *string equation* [\[W\]](#page-44-14)

$$
\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}} \psi_1^{a_1} \cdots \psi_n^{a_n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \psi_1^{a_1} \cdots \psi_i^{a_i-1} \cdots \psi_n^{a_n},
$$

where  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \geq 0$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 3g - 2 + n$ .

<span id="page-19-0"></span>The following evaluation follows by putting together [\[M6\]](#page-43-26) Section 6 and [\[FaP\]](#page-43-25) Section 3. For the sake of completeness we outline a proof:

## **Lemma 4.8.**

$$
\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \psi_1^{3g-2} = \frac{1}{24^g \cdot g!}.
$$

*Proof.* The cokernel of the sheaf morphism  $\pi^*(\mathbb{E}) \to \omega_\pi$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$  given by multiplication of global sections, is supported on the locus  $X_2 \cup \ldots \cup X_g$ , where  $X_j \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}$  is the closure of the subvariety of pointed curves  $[R \cup C_1 \cup \ldots \cup C_j, p]$ , where R is a smooth rational curve,  $p \in R$  and  $C_i$  are smooth curves with  $\#(R \cap C_i) = 1$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq j$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{j} g(C_i) = g$ . Clearly  $\dim(X_j) = 3g - 2 - j$ , and there is a natural map

$$
f_j: X_j \to \mathcal{M}_{0,j+1}
$$

forgetting the tails  $C_1, \ldots, C_j$ , while retaining the intersection points  $R \cap C_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j$ . One has that  $\psi_{1|X_j}=f^*_j(\psi_p)$ , where  $\psi_p\in A^1(\overline{\mathcal M}_{0,j+1})$  denotes the cotangent line class on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,j+1}$  corresponding to the marked point labeled by  $p \in R$ . For dimension reasons

it follows that  $\psi^{g-1}_{1\perp X}$  $_{1|\;X_2\cup...\cup X_g}^{g-1}=0$ , whereas  $\psi_{1|\;X_1}^{g-2}$  $\frac{g-2}{1|X_2\cup...\cup X_g}$  must be entirely supported on the locus  $X_g$ . Putting these observations together, one finds that

(12) 
$$
\left(\frac{\pi^* c(\mathbb{E})}{1+\psi_1}\right)_{2g-2} = \psi_1^{g-2}[X_g]_{\mathbb{Q}}.
$$

To estimate  $\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \psi_1^{3g-2}$  $_{1}^{3g-2}$ , one uses Mumford's relation  $c(\mathbb{E}) \cdot c(\mathbb{E}^{\vee}) = 1$ , cf. [\[M6\]](#page-43-26). This comes from the exact sequence which globalizes Serre duality

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{E} \longrightarrow R^1 \pi_* \Omega^1_\pi \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}^\vee \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where the rank  $2q$  vector bundle in the middle possesses a Gauss-Manin connection. Accordingly, we can write that

$$
\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \psi_1^{3g-2} = \left( \frac{\pi^*(\mathbb{E})}{1+\psi_1} \right)_{2g-2} \cdot (\lambda_g + \lambda_{g-1}\psi_1 + \dots + \psi_1^g) = \lambda_g \psi_1^{g-2} \cdot [X_g]_{\mathbb{Q}}.
$$

This last intersection number can be evaluated via the map of degree g!,

$$
\phi:(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1})^g\times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,g+1}\rightarrow X_g,
$$

which attaches g elliptic tails at the first g marked points of a rational  $(q + 1)$ -pointed stable curve. Clearly  $\phi^*(\mathbb{E}_{|X_g})=\mathbb{E}_1\boxtimes\cdots\boxtimes\mathbb{E}_1$ , where  $\mathbb{E}_1$  is the Hodge bundle on  $\overline{\mathcal M}_{1,1}.$ Since  $\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}} \lambda_1 = 1/24$ , one finds that,

$$
\lambda_g \psi_1^{g-2} \cdot [X_g]_{\mathbb{Q}} = \frac{1}{g!} \Biggl( \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}} \lambda_1 \Biggr)^g \cdot \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,g+1}} \psi_1^{g-2} = \frac{1}{24^g \cdot g!}.
$$

To evaluate the integral  $\int_{\overline{\mathcal M}_{g,1}} \lambda\cdot \psi_1^{3g-3}$  $_{1}^{3g-3}$ , first one uses the GRR calculation of ch $(\mathbb{E})$ applied to the universal curve  $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . One finds that

$$
\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1}} \lambda \cdot \psi_1^{3g-3} = \frac{1}{12} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 2}} \psi_1^{3g-3} \psi_2^2 - \frac{1}{12} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, 1}} \psi_1^{3g-2} + \frac{1}{24} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1, 3}} \psi_1^{3g-3}.
$$

The last integral is evaluated using again the string equation, for the middle one we use Lemma [4.8.](#page-19-0) The first integral is evaluated using [\[W\]](#page-44-14) and one finally proves:

#### **Theorem 4.9.**

$$
s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g) \ge \frac{\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \delta_0 \cdot \psi_1^{3g-3}}{\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,1}} \lambda \cdot \psi_1^{3g-3}} = \frac{60}{g+4}.
$$

Note that the bound  $O(1/g)$  obtained in this theorem is quite similar to the experimental bound  $\frac{576}{5g}$  obtained in [\[HMo\]](#page-43-22) using Hurwitz covers.

**Remark 4.10.** Another very natural covering curve for  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ , which potentially could produce a much better lower bound for  $s(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q)$  than the one in [\[P\]](#page-44-13), has been recently proposed by Coskun, Harris and Starr [\[CHS\]](#page-42-18): If Hilb<sub>g,1</sub> denotes the Hilbert scheme of canonically embedded curves  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{g-1}$ , then dim  $\textbf{Hilb}_{g,1} = g^2 + 3g - 4$ . We denote

by  $r(g)$  the largest number r, such that through r general points in  $\mathbf{P}^{g-1}$  there passes a canonical curve  $[C \hookrightarrow {\textbf P}^{g-1}] \in \textbf{Hilb}_{g,1}.$  It has been determined in [\[St\]](#page-44-15) that

$$
r(g) = g + 5 + \left[\frac{6}{g - 2}\right]
$$

(this is, the smallest solution of the necessary inequality dim  $\text{Hilb}_{g,1} + r \geq (g-1)r$ ). In particular  $r(3) = 14$  (as it should be!) and  $r(5) = 12$ . For  $g \ge 9$ , one fixes general points  $p_1,\ldots,p_{g+5}\in\mathbf{P}^{g-1}$  as well as a general linear space  $\mathbf{P}^{g-7}\subset\mathbf{P}^{g-1}.$  The family  $X_g\subset\overline{\mathcal M}_g$ consisting of canonical curves  $[C \hookrightarrow {\bf P}^{g-1}] \in {\bf{Hilb}}_{g,1}$  passing through  $p_1,\ldots,p_{g+5}$ , and such that  $C \cap {\bf P}^{g-7} \, \neq \, \emptyset$  is a covering curve for  $\overline{\mathcal M}_g.$  It is an interesting problem to determine the slope  $X_q \cdot \delta / X_q \cdot \lambda$ .

# 5. THE HARRIS-MUMFORD THEOREM REVISITED: AN ALTERNATIVE PROOF VIA **SYZYGIES**

In this section we present a different proof of the main result from [\[HM\]](#page-43-5) by replacing the calculation of the class of the Hurwitz divisor  $\overline{\mathcal M}_{g,k}^1$  of  $k$ -gonal curves of genus  $g = 2k - 1$  by the calculation of the class of a certain *Koszul divisor*  $\overline{Z}_{q,k-2}$ , consisting of canonical curves  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  with extra syzygies at the  $(k-2)$ -nd step in its minimal graded resolution. The advantage of this approach is that the proof that  $\mathcal{M}_q$  is of general type becomes shorter since one can completely avoid having to develop the theory of admissible covers and do without the enumerative calculations that occupy a large part of [\[HM\]](#page-43-5), precisely pg. 53-86, or alternatively, develop the theory of limit linear series [\[EH1\]](#page-42-13). The proof becomes also more direct and logical, since it uses solely the geometry of canonical curves of genus  $g$  and that of the corresponding Hodge bundles on  $\mathcal{M}_g$ , rather than the geometry of an auxiliary Hurwitz stack. The disadvantage of this approach, is that the statement that the locus  $\overline{Z}_{q,k-2}$  is a divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  is highly non-trivial and it is equivalent to Green's Conjecture for generic curves of odd genus (Voisin's theorem [\[V1\]](#page-44-16), [\[V2\]](#page-44-3)). This situation is somewhat similar to that encountered in the streamlined proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) presented by Eisenbud and Harris in [\[EH3\]](#page-42-0) (and which is comparable in length to our proof): Showing that the a priori virtual Brill-Noether locus is an actual divisor in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ , requires the full force of the Brill-Noether theory and is arguably more difficult than computing the class of the Brill-Noether divisor on  $\mathcal{M}_q$ .

We start by recalling a few basic facts on syzygies. For a smooth curve  $C$  and a globally generated line bundle  $L \in \mathrm{Pic}^d(C)$ , we denote by  $K_{i,j}(C,L)$  the Koszul cohomology group obtained from the complex

$$
\wedge^{i+1} H^0(L) \otimes H^0(L^{\otimes (j-1)}) \xrightarrow{d_{i+1,j-1}} \wedge^i H^0(L) \otimes H^0(L^{\otimes j}) \xrightarrow{d_{i,j}} \wedge^{i-1} H^0(L) \otimes H^0(L^{\otimes (j+1)}),
$$

where the maps  $d_{i,j}$  are the Koszul differentials defined by (cf. [\[La2\]](#page-43-27), [\[PR\]](#page-44-17))

$$
d_{i,j}(f_1\wedge \ldots \wedge f_i\,\otimes u):=\sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^l \big(f_1\wedge \ldots \wedge \check{f}_l\ldots \wedge f_i\big)\otimes (uf_l),
$$

with  $f_l \in H^0(C,L)$  and  $u \in H^0(C,L^{\otimes j}).$  If  $R(C,L) := \oplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(C,L^{\otimes n})$  denotes the graded module over the polynomial ring  $S := \text{Sym } H^0(C, L)$ , then

$$
K_{i,j}(C,L) = \text{Tor}_i^S(\mathbb{C}, R(C,L))_{i+j}.
$$

There is a standard geometric way of computing Koszul cohomology groups using *Lazarsfeld bundles*. Since L is assumed to be globally generated, we can define the vector bundle  $M_L$  on  $C$  through the following exact sequence on  $C$ :

$$
0 \to M_L \to H^0(L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \to L \to 0.
$$

A diagram chasing argument using the exact sequences

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
0 \longrightarrow \wedge^a M_L \otimes L^{\otimes b} \longrightarrow \wedge^a H^0(L) \otimes L^{\otimes b} \longrightarrow \wedge^{a-1} M_L \otimes L^{\otimes (b+1)} \longrightarrow 0
$$

for various  $a$  and  $b$ , shows that there is an identification cf. [\[La2\]](#page-43-27)

(13) 
$$
K_{a,b}(C,L) = \frac{H^0(C, \wedge^a M_L \otimes L^{\otimes b})}{\text{Image}\{\wedge^{a+1} H^0(C,L) \otimes H^0(C, L^{\otimes (b-1)})\}}.
$$

**Example 5.1.** From [\(13\)](#page-22-0) we find that  $K_{0,2}(C,L) = 0$  if and only if the multiplication map  $Sym^2 H^0(C, L) \rightarrow H^0(C, L^{\otimes 2})$  is surjective. Assuming L is normally generated, we have that  $K_{1,2}(C,L) = 0$  if and only if  $C \stackrel{|L|}{\to} \mathbf{P}(H^0(C,L)^\vee)$  is cut out by quadrics. More generally, one says that L satisfies the *Green-Lazarsfeld property*  $(N_p)$  for  $p \ge 0$ , if the vanishing  $K_{i,2}(C,L) = 0$  holds for all  $0 \le i \le p$ . This corresponds intuitively to the situation that the first p syzygies of the image curve  $C \stackrel{|L|}{\to} \mathbf{P}(H^0(C, L)^{\vee})$  are as simple as possible, that is, linear.

From now on we specialize to the case  $L=K_C\in W^{g-1}_{2g-2g}$  $\chi_{2g-2}^{g-1}(C)$  and we consider the canonical map  $C\stackrel{|K_C|}\longrightarrow {\bf P}^{g-1}.$  If  $C$  is non-hyperreliptic, we set  $\mathcal{I}_{C/{\bf P}^{g-1}}$  to be the ideal of the canonically embedded curve.

**Proposition 5.2.** *For any non-hyperrelliptic curve*  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_q$  *and any integer*  $0 \le i \le (g - 1)$ 1)/2 *we have the following equivalence:*

$$
K_{i,2}(C,K_C) \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow h^0(\mathbf{P}^{g-1},\Omega^i_{\mathbf{P}^{g-1}}(i+2)\otimes \mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbf{P}^{g-1}}) \geq {g-1 \choose i+2} \frac{(g-2i-3)(i+1)}{g-i-1}+1.
$$

*Proof.* We start with a canonically embedded curve  $C \stackrel{|K_C|}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbf{P}^{g-1}.$  Throughout the proof we use the identification  $M_{\mathbf{p}^{g-1}} = \Omega_{\mathbf{p}^{g-1}}(1)$  coming from the Euler sequence on  $\mathbf{P}^{g-1}$ . Since the vector bundle  $M_{K_C}$  is stable (cf. [\[PR\]](#page-44-17) Corollary 3.5), we have the vanishing

$$
H^1(C, \wedge^i \otimes K_C^{\otimes 2}) = 0
$$

because  $\mu(\wedge^i M_{K_C}\otimes K_C^{\otimes 2})$  $\binom{12}{C}$  > 2g – 1. It follows from [\(13\)](#page-22-0) that  $K_{i,2}(C,K_C) \neq 0$  if and only if the map

$$
H^1(C, \wedge^{i+1} M_{K_C} \otimes K_C) \to \wedge^{i+1} H^0(C, K_C) \otimes H^1(C, K_C)
$$

is an isomorphism, or equivalently  $h^1(C, \wedge^{i+1} M_{K_C}\otimes K_C)={g\choose i+1}.$  We write down the following commutative diagram, where by abusing notation, we shall denote by the same letter a sheaf morphism and the group morphism it induces at the level of global sections:

0 0 0 y y y ∧ <sup>i</sup>+1M**<sup>P</sup>** <sup>g</sup>−<sup>1</sup> ⊗ IC/**<sup>P</sup>** <sup>g</sup>−1(1) −→ ∧i+1H<sup>0</sup> (O**<sup>P</sup>** <sup>g</sup>−1(1)) ⊗ IC/**<sup>P</sup>** <sup>g</sup>−<sup>1</sup> (1) −→ ∧iM**<sup>P</sup>** <sup>g</sup>−<sup>1</sup> ⊗ IC/**<sup>P</sup>** <sup>g</sup>−1(2) y y y ∧ <sup>i</sup>+1M**<sup>P</sup>** <sup>g</sup>−<sup>1</sup> (1) −→ ∧i+1H<sup>0</sup> (O**<sup>P</sup>** <sup>g</sup>−<sup>1</sup> (1)) ⊗ O**<sup>P</sup>** <sup>g</sup>−1(1) −→ ∧iM**<sup>P</sup>** <sup>g</sup>−1(2) yα yβ y γ ∧ <sup>i</sup>+1MK<sup>C</sup> ⊗ K<sup>C</sup> −→ ∧i+1H<sup>0</sup> (KC) ⊗ K<sup>C</sup> −→ ∧iMK<sup>C</sup> ⊗ K⊗<sup>2</sup> C y y y 0 0 0

Applying the Snake Lemma, we find that  $H^0(\mathbf{P}^{g-1}, \wedge^i M_{\mathbf{P}^{g-1}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{C/\mathbf{P}^{g-1}}(2)) = \mathrm{Coker}(\alpha)$ . We also note that  $h^0\big(\mathbf{P}^{g-1}, \wedge^{i+1}M_{\mathbf{P}^{g-1}}(1)\big) = \binom{g}{i+2}$  (use for instance Bott's vanishing theorem). Thus the condition  $K_{i,2}(C, K_C) = 0$  is satisfied if and only if

$$
\dim \text{Coker}(\alpha) = h^0(C, \wedge^{i+1} M_{K_C} \otimes K_C) - h^0(\mathbf{P}^{g-1}, \wedge^{i+1} M_{\mathbf{P}^{g-1}}(1)) =
$$
\n
$$
= \binom{g-1}{i+1} (g-2i-3) + h^1(C, \wedge^{i+1} M_{K_C} \otimes K_C) - \binom{g}{i+2} \le
$$
\n
$$
\le \binom{g-1}{i+2} \frac{(g-2i-3)(i+1)}{g-i-1}.
$$

 $\Box$ 

For  $g = 2i + 3$ , we find that  $K_{i,2}(C, K_C) \neq 0$  if and only if the map

(14) 
$$
H^0(\mathbf{P}^{g-1}, \wedge^i M_{\mathbf{P}^{g-1}}(2)) \stackrel{\gamma}{\longrightarrow} H^0(C, \wedge^i M_{K_C} \otimes K_C^{\otimes 2})
$$

is not an isomorphism. We note that  $\gamma$  is a map between vector spaces of the same dimensions:

$$
h^{0}(\mathbf{P}^{g-2}, \wedge^{i} M_{\mathbf{P}^{g-1}}(2)) = (i+1)\binom{g+1}{i+2} = \chi(C, \wedge^{i} M_{K_C} \otimes K_C^{\otimes 2}) = h^{0}(C, \wedge^{i} M_{K_C} \otimes K_C^{\otimes 2})
$$

(for the left hand side use Bott vanishing, for the right hand-side the Riemann-Roch theorem.) This shows that the locus

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{g,i} := \{ [C] \in \mathcal{M}_g : K_{i,2}(C, K_C) \neq 0 \},\
$$

being the degeneracy locus of a morphism between two vector bundles of the same rank over  $\mathcal{M}_q$ , is a virtual divisor on the moduli space of curves.

**Example 5.3.** By specializing to the case  $g = 3$ , we find the following interpretation

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{3,0} := \{ [C] \in \mathcal{M}_3 : \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, K_C) \to H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2}) \text{ is not an isomorphism} \}.
$$

Using M. Noether's theorem [\[ACGH\]](#page-42-11), it follows that  $\mathcal{Z}_{3,0}$  consists precisely of hyperelliptic curves, that is,  $supp(\mathcal{Z}_{3,0}) = supp(\mathcal{M}_{3,2}^1)$ . In the next case  $g = 5$ , we use Petri's theorem stating that a non-hyperelliptic canonical curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_5$  is cut out by quadrics unless it has a  $\mathfrak{g}^1_3$ . We obtain that  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{Z}_{5,1}) = \text{supp}(\mathcal{M}_{5,3}^1)$ .

In order to describe the closure  $\overline{Z}_{g,i}$  of  $\mathcal{Z}_{g,i}$  inside  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , we shall extend the determinantal description of  $\mathcal{Z}_{q,i}$  over a partial compactification of  $\mathbf{M}_q$ . Our methods seem well-suited for divisor class calculations but harder to implement in the case of Koszul cycles on  $\mathcal{M}_q$  of higher codimension.

We denote by  $\mathbf{M}_g^*:=\mathbf{M}_g\cup \bigl(\cup_{j=0}^{i+1}\Delta_j^0\bigr)$  the locally closed substack of  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g$  defined as the union  $\mathbf{M}_g$  and the open substacks  $\Delta_j^0 \subset \Delta_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i+1$  consisting of 1nodal genus  $g$  curves  $[C\cup_y D]$ , with  $[C,y]\in \mathcal{M}_{g-j,1}$  and  $[D,y]\in \mathcal{M}_{j,1}$ , that is,  $\Delta_j^0$  is the intersection of  $\Delta_j$  with the codimension 1 stratum in the topological stratification of  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g$ . The substack  $\Delta_0^0 \subset \Delta_0$  classifies 1-nodal irreducible genus  $g$  curves

$$
[C_{yq} := \frac{C}{q \sim y}] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g,
$$

where  $[C,q,y] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,2}$  together with their degenerations consisting of unions of a smooth genus  $g-1$  curve and a nodal rational curve. We set  $\widetilde{\bf M}_g := {\bf M}_g \cup \Delta_0^0 \cup \Delta_1^0 \subset {\bf M}_g^*.$ 

For integers  $0 \le a \le i$  and  $b \ge 2$  we define vector bundles  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  over  $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_g$  with fibre

$$
\mathcal{G}_{a,b}[C] = H^0(C, \wedge^a M_{K_C} \otimes K_C^{\otimes b})
$$

over every point  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ . The question is of course how the extend this description of  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  over the locus of stable curves. In this paper we shall only describe how to construct the bundles  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  over  $\mathbf{M}_g$ , which will suffice in order to compute the slope of  $\mathcal{Z}_{g,i}$  and prove Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) for  $g = 2i + 3$ . For full details on how to extend the vector bundles  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  over  $\mathbf{M}_q$  (that is, outside codimension 2 over all the boundary divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ ), we refer to [\[F2\]](#page-43-18) p. 75-86. We start by constructing the bundles  $\mathcal{G}_{0,b}$ :

<span id="page-24-0"></span>**Proposition 5.4.** *For each*  $b \geq 2$  *there exists a vector bundle*  $\mathcal{G}_{0,b}$  *over*  $\mathbf{M}_q$  *of rank*  $(2b-1)(g-1)$ *with fibres admitting the following description:*

- For  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  we have that  $\mathcal{G}_{0,b}[C] = H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes b})$ .
- For  $[C \cup_y E]$   $\in \Delta_1^0$ , where E is an elliptic curve, if  $u \in H^0(C, K_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(2y))$   $H^0(C, K_C)$  denotes any meromorphic 1-form with non-zero residue at  $y$ , then

 $\mathcal{G}_{0,b}[C\cup_y E]=H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes b}\otimes \mathcal{O}_C((2b-2)\cdot y))+\mathbb{C}\cdot u^b\subset H^0\big(C, K_C^{\otimes b}\otimes \mathcal{O}_C(2b\cdot y)\big).$ 

• For  $[C_{yq} = C/y \sim q] \in \Delta_0^0$ , where  $q, y \in C$  and  $u \in H^0(C, K_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(y+q))$  – H<sup>0</sup> (C,K<sup>C</sup> ) *is a meromorphic* 1*-form with non-zero residues at* y *and* q*, we have that*

$$
\mathcal{G}_{0,b}[C_{yq}] = H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes b} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C((b-1)y+(b-1)q)) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot u^b \subset H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes b} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(by+bq)).
$$

The idea to define the vector bundles  $\mathcal{G}_{0,b}$  as suitable twists by boundary divisors of powers of the relative dualizing sheaf over the universal curve, that is,

$$
\mathcal{G}_{0,b} = \pi_* \big( \omega_{\pi}^{\otimes b} \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{[g/2]} \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\overline{\textbf{M}}_g}(c_j^b \ \delta_j)) \big)
$$

for precisely determined constants  $c_j^b\,\geq\,0$ , comes of course from the theory of limit linear series. Recalling that  $\sigma:\mathfrak{G}_{2g-2}^{g-1}\to \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_g$  denotes the stack of limit  $\mathfrak{g}_{2g-}^{g-1}$  $\frac{g-1}{2g-2}$ 's, then for a curve  $[C\cup_y E]\in \Delta^0_{1}$ , the fibre  $\sigma^{-1}[C\cup_y E]$  consists of a single limit linear series

$$
\Big(l_C = \big(\omega_C(2y), H^0(\omega_C(2y))\in G_{2g-2}^{g-1}(C), l_E = \big(\mathcal{O}_E(2gy), (g-1)y + |(g-1)y|\big) \in G_{2g-2}^{g-1}(E)\big)\Big).
$$

The bundle  $\mathcal{G}_{0,1}$  retains the aspect of this limit  $\mathfrak{g}_{2q-}^{g-1}$  $\frac{y-1}{2g-2}$  corresponding to the component of genus  $g - 1$ , while dropping the information coming from the elliptic tail. Similarly, for  $b \geq 2$ , it is an easy exercise in limit linear series to show the fibre  $\mathcal{G}_{0,b}[C \cup_y E]$ is precisely the *C*-aspect of the limit  $\mathfrak{g}_{(2b-1)(}^{2b(g-1))}$  $\frac{2b(g-1)}{(2b-1)(g-1)-1}$  induced from  $\omega_{C\cup C}^{\otimes b}$  $_{C\cup E}^{\otimes o}$ . The situation becomes more complicated when extending  $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{0,b}$  over the entire stack  $\mathbf{M}_g^*$ . As explained in [\[F2\]](#page-43-18) Theorem 3.13 in the case of the Hurwitz stack (and the same holds true for  $\mathbf{M}_{g}^{*}$ itself), the twisting coefficients  $c_j^b$  are chosen in a unique way such that the resulting bundles  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  fit in exact sequences of type [\(15\)](#page-25-0).

Having defined  $\mathcal{G}_{0,b}$  we now define inductively all vector bundles  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b}$ . First we define  $\mathcal{G}_{1,b}$  as the kernel of the multiplication map  $\mathcal{G}_{0,1} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{0,b} \to \mathcal{G}_{0,b+1}$ , that is, by the exact sequence

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
0\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{1,b}\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0,1}\otimes \mathcal{G}_{0,b}\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0,b+1}\longrightarrow 0.
$$

Having defined  $\mathcal{G}_{l,b}$  for all  $l \leq a-1$ , the vector bundle  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  is defined through the following exact sequence over  $\mathbf{M}_g$ :

(15) 
$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{a,b} \longrightarrow \wedge^a \mathcal{G}_{0,1} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{0,b} \stackrel{\phi_{a,b}}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{G}_{a-1,b+1} \longrightarrow 0.
$$

**Proposition 5.5.** *The Koszul maps*  $\phi_{a,b}$  :  $\wedge^a \mathcal{G}_{0,1} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{0,b} \to \mathcal{G}_{a-1,b+1}$  are well-defined and *surjective for all integers*  $b \geq 2$  *and*  $0 \leq a \leq b$ . In particular the exact sequences [\(15\)](#page-25-0) make *sense and the vector bundles*  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  *are well-defined.* 

*Proof.* This proof is similar to [\[F2\]](#page-43-18) Proposition 3.10. We use that the vector bundle  $M_{K_C\otimes \mathcal{O}_C(y+q)}$  is semi-stable for  $[C,y,q]\in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,2}$ , in particular

$$
H^1(C, \wedge^a M_{K_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(y+q)} \otimes K_C^{\otimes b}((b-1) \cdot (y+q))) = 0,
$$

that is the map

 $\wedge^a H^0(K_C(y+q)) \otimes H^0(K_C^{\otimes b})$  $C^{0}(b-1)(y+q)) \rightarrow H^{0}(\wedge^{a-1} M_{K_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(y+q)} \otimes K_C^{\otimes (b+1)})$  $C^{(b+1)}(b(y+q))$ is surjective. The rest now follows from the description of the fibres of the bundles  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b}$ provided in Proposition [5.4.](#page-24-0)

For  $0 \le a \le i$  and  $b \ge 1$  we define vector bundles  $\mathcal{H}_{a,b}$  over  $\mathbf{M}_g$  having fibre

$$
\mathcal{H}_{a,b}[C] = H^0\big(\mathbf{P}^{g-1}, \wedge^a M_{\mathbf{P}^{g-1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^{g-1}}(b)\big)
$$

over each point corresponding to a smooth curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_q$  with the canonical map  $C\stackrel{|K_C|}\longrightarrow {\bf P}^{g-1}.$  First we set  ${\cal H}_{0,b}:=\mathrm{Sym}^b(\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\textbf{M}}_g}(\delta_1))$  for  $b\geq 1.$  Having already defined  $\mathcal{H}_{a-1,b}$  for all  $b \geq 1$ , we define  $\mathcal{H}_{a,b}$  via the exact sequence

<span id="page-25-1"></span>(16) 
$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{a,b} \longrightarrow \wedge^a \mathcal{H}_{0,1} \otimes \text{Sym}^b \mathcal{H}_{0,1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{a-1,b+1} \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Note that the bundles  $\mathcal{H}_{a,b}$  are defined entirely in terms of the Hodge bundle E. There is a natural vector bundle morphism over  $M_q$ 

$$
\gamma_{a,b}:\mathcal{H}_{a,b}\rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{a,b}.
$$

When  $g = 2i + 3$  then rank $(\mathcal{H}_{i,2})$  = rank $(\mathcal{G}_{i,2})$  and the degeneracy locus  $Z(\gamma_{i,2})$  of the morphism  $\gamma_{i,2}$  is a codimension 1 compactification in  $\mathbf{M}_q$  of the locus  $\mathcal{Z}_{q,i}$ .

We shall determine the class  $c_1(\mathcal{G}_{i,2}-\mathcal{H}_{i,2}) \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{M}_g)$  by computing its intersection it with the following test curves lying in the boundary of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ : We fix a pointed curve  $[C,q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,1}$  and a general elliptic curve  $[E,y] \in \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ . We define two 1parameter families

(17) 
$$
C^0 := \{ \frac{C}{y \sim q} : y \in C \} \subset \Delta_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g \text{ and } C^1 := \{ C \cup_y E : y \in C \} \subset \Delta_1 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g.
$$

These families intersect the generators of  $Pic(\overline{M}_q)$  as follows (cf. [\[HM\]](#page-43-5) pg. 83-85):

$$
C^0 \cdot \lambda = 0
$$
,  $C^0 \cdot \delta_0 = -2g + 2$ ,  $C^0 \cdot \delta_1 = 1$  and  $C^0 \cdot \delta_a = 0$  for  $a \ge 2$ , and  
\n $C^1 \cdot \lambda = 0$ ,  $C^1 \cdot \delta_0 = 0$ ,  $C^1 \cdot \delta_1 = -2g + 4$ ,  $C^1 \cdot \delta_a = 0$  for  $a \ge 2$ .

**Lemma 5.6.** We fix  $[C,q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1}$  and we consider the test curves  $C^0, C^1 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . Then for *all integers*  $j \geq 1$  *the following formulas:* 

(1)  $C^1 \cdot c_1(\mathcal{G}_{0,j}) = -2g + 4.$ (2)  $C^0 \cdot c_1(\mathcal{G}_{0,j}) = (j-1)(j(g-1)+j-1)+j.$ 

*Proof.* We denote by  $p_1, p_2 : C \times C \to C$  the two projections and  $\Delta \subset C \times C$  is the diagonal. We give details only for the first calculation the remaining one being similar. We have the identification  $\mathcal{G}_{0,1|C^1} = (\pi_1)_*(\pi_2^*(K_C) \otimes \mathcal{O}(2\Delta))$ , from which we obtain that  $c_1(\mathcal{G}_{0,1|C^1}) = -2g + 4$ . For  $j \geq 2$  we use the following exact sequences of bundles on C:

$$
0\longrightarrow (\pi_1)_*\Bigl(\pi_2^*(K_C^{\otimes j})\otimes \mathcal{O}((2j-2)\Delta)\Bigr)\longrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{0,j|C^1}\longrightarrow (\pi_1)_*\Bigl(\pi_2^*(K_C^{\otimes j})\otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Delta}(2j\Delta)\Bigr)\longrightarrow 0.
$$

An immediate application of Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch for the projection morphism  $p_1 : C \times C \rightarrow C$  gives that

$$
c_1(\pi_1)_*\Bigl(\pi_2^*(K_C^{\otimes j})\otimes \mathcal{O}_{C\times C}((2j-2)\Delta)\Bigr)=2(g-1)(j-1),
$$

<span id="page-26-0"></span>which finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.7.** *The class of the virtual divisor*  $\overline{Z}_{2i+3,i}$  *in* Pic( $M_{2i+3}$ ) *equals* 

$$
[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{2i+3,i}]^{virt} = c_1(\mathcal{G}_{i,2} - \mathcal{H}_{i,2}) = \frac{1}{i+2} {2i \choose i} (6(i+3)\lambda - (i+2)\delta_0 - 6(i+1)\delta_1).
$$

*Proof.* We have constructed the vector bundle morphism  $\gamma_{i,2} : \mathcal{H}_{i,2} \to \mathcal{G}_{i,2}$  over the stack  $\mathbf{M}_g$ . For  $g = 2i + 3$  we know that rank $(\mathcal{H}_{i,2}) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{G}_{i,2})$  and the virtual Koszul class  $[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g,i}]^{virt}$  equals  $c_1(\mathcal{G}_{i,2}-\mathcal{H}_{i,2})$ . We recall that for a rank e vector bundle  $\mathcal E$  over a stack *X* and for  $i \geq 1$ , we have formulas

$$
c_1(\wedge^i \mathcal{E}) = {e-1 \choose i-1} c_1(\mathcal{E}) \text{ and } c_1(\text{Sym}^i \mathcal{E}) = {e+i-1 \choose e} c_1(\mathcal{E}).
$$

We write  $c_1(G_{i,2} - H_{i,2}) = \mathfrak{a}\lambda - \mathfrak{b}_0\delta_0 - \mathfrak{b}_1\delta_1$ . Using the exact sequences [\(15\)](#page-25-0) we find that

$$
c_1(\mathcal{G}_{i,2}) = \sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^l c_1(\wedge^{i-l} \mathcal{G}_{0,1} \otimes \mathcal{G}_{0,l+2}) = \sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^l {g \choose i-l} c_1(\mathcal{G}_{0,l+2}) +
$$

$$
+\sum_{l=0}^i (-1)^l ((g-1)(2l+3)) \binom{g-1}{i-l-1} c_1(\mathcal{G}_{0,1}).
$$

Using repeatedly the exact sequence [\(16\)](#page-25-1) we find that

$$
c_1(\mathcal{H}_{i,2}) = \sum_{l=0}^{i} (-1)^l c_1(\wedge^{i-l} \mathcal{H}_{0,1} \otimes \text{Sym}^{l+2} \mathcal{H}_{0,1}) =
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{l=0}^{i} (-1)^l {g \choose i-l} c_1(\text{Sym}^{l+2}(\mathcal{H}_{0,1})) + \sum_{l=0}^{i} (-1)^l {g+l+1 \choose l+2} c_1(\wedge^{i-l} \mathcal{H}_{0,1})
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{l=0}^{i} (-1)^l \left( {g \choose i-l} {g+l+1 \choose g} + {g+l+1 \choose l+2} {g-1 \choose i-l-1} \right) c_1(\mathcal{H}_{0,1}) =
$$
  
= 
$$
4(2i+1){2i \choose i} c_1(\mathcal{H}_{0,1}),
$$

with  $\mathcal{G}_{0,1}=\mathcal{H}_{0,1}=\mathbb{E}\otimes\mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_g}(\delta_1).$  We intersect both these formulas with the test curves  $C^0$  and  $C^1$  and write that

$$
(2g-2)\mathfrak{b}_0 - \mathfrak{b}_1 = C^0 \cdot [\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g,i}]^{virt} = (i+1) \binom{2i+2}{i} \text{ and}
$$

$$
(2g-4)\mathfrak{b}_1 = C^1 \cdot [\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g,i}]^{virt} = 6(i+1) \binom{2i+2}{i}.
$$

These relations determine  $\mathfrak{b}_0$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_1$ . Finally we claim that we also have the relation  $\mathfrak{a} - 12\mathfrak{b}_0 + \mathfrak{b}_1 = 0$  which finishes the proof. Indeed, we consider q the curve  $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ obtained by attaching to a fixed point  $q \in C$  of a curve of genus  $g - 1$  a Lefschetz pencil of plane cubics. Then  $R \cdot \lambda = 1$ ,  $R \cdot \delta_0 = 12$ ,  $R \cdot \delta_1 = -1$  and  $R \cdot \delta_j = 0$  for  $j \ge 2$ . Then

$$
\mathfrak{a} - 12\mathfrak{b}_0 + \mathfrak{b}_1 = 0 = R \cdot c_1(\mathcal{G}_{i,2} - \mathcal{H}_{i,2}) = 0,
$$

and this follows because  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b|R}$  are numerically trivial (It is clear that  $\mathcal{G}_{0,b|R}$  are trivial for  $b \ge 1$  and then one uses [\(15\)](#page-25-0) and [\(16\)](#page-25-1)).

**Example 5.8.** For  $i = 0$  hence  $g = 3$ , Theorem [5.7](#page-26-0) reads like

$$
[\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{3,0}]^{virt} = c_1(\mathcal{G}_{0,2} - \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{G}_{0,1}) = 9\lambda - \delta_0 - 3\delta_1 \equiv \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,2}^1 \in \text{Pic}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3).
$$

Thus our calculation yields a computation of the compactified divisor  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{3,2}^1$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_3$  of hyperelliptic curves. Thus we have the relation  $Z(\gamma_{0,2}) = \overline{Z}_{3,0}$  and the vector bundle morphism  $\gamma_{0,2} : \mathcal{H}_{0,2} \to \mathcal{G}_{0,2}$  provides the "correct" determinantal structure of the compactification of the hyperelliptic divisor. A different compactification of  $\mathcal{M}_{3,2}^1$  is provided by the vector bundle morphism between Hodge bundles

$$
\chi_3: \operatorname{Sym}^2(\mathbb{E}_1) \to \mathbb{E}_2, \quad \chi_3[X]: \operatorname{Sym}^2 H^0(X, \omega_X) \to H^0(X, \omega_X^{\otimes 2})
$$

for  $[X] \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_3$ . The class of its degeneration locus is  $c_1(\mathbb{E}_2 - \text{Sym}^2 \mathbb{E}_1) = 9\lambda - \delta_0 - \delta_1$  (use Remark [4.1\)](#page-15-0). It follows that there is an equality of cycles

$$
Z(\chi_3) = Z(\gamma_{0,2}) + 2\delta_1 \in A^1(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_3),
$$

that is,  $\chi_3$  is an everywhere degenerate morphism along the divisor  $\Delta_1$ . This discussion in low genus, already indicates that the determinantal structure induced by the morphism  $\gamma_{i,2} : \mathcal{H}_{i,2} \to \mathcal{G}_{i,2}$  provides the right compactification of  $\mathcal{Z}_{g,i}$  over  $\mathbf{M}_g$ .

In a way analogous to [\[F2\]](#page-43-18), one can extend the vector bundles  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{a,b}$  as well as the vector bundle morphism  $\gamma_{a,b} : \mathcal{H}_{a,b} \to \mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  over the larger codimension 1 compactification  $\mathbf{M}_{g}^{*}$ , in a way that the exact sequence [\(15\)](#page-25-0) and [\(16\)](#page-25-1) extend to  $\mathbf{M}_{g}^{*}$ . Using these sequences, we can compute the class  $c_1(\mathcal{G}_{i,2}-\mathcal{H}_{i,2}) \in \mathrm{Pic}(\mathbf{M}_g^*) = \mathrm{Pic}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_g)$ . One finds a formula

$$
c_1(\mathcal{G}_{i,2}-\mathcal{H}_{i,2})=\mathfrak{a}\lambda-\mathfrak{b}_0\delta_0-\cdots-\mathfrak{b}_{[g/2]}\delta_{[g/2]},
$$

where  $\mathfrak{b}_j \geq \mathfrak{b}_0$  for  $j \geq 1$ . It follows that

$$
s([\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g,i}]^{virt})=\frac{\mathfrak{a}}{\mathfrak{b}_0}=6+\frac{12}{g+1}.
$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) provided we can show that  $\mathcal{Z}_{g,i}$  is an "honest" divisor on  $M_{2i+3}$ , that is,  $\gamma_{i,2}$  is generically nondegenerate. This is the subject of Voisin's theorem [\[V2\]](#page-44-3) which gives an affirmative answer to Green's Conjecture for generic curves of odd genus (see e.g. [\[GL\]](#page-43-28) for more background):

**Theorem 5.9.** *For a general curve*  $[C] \in M_{2i+3}$  *we have the vanishing*  $K_{i,2}(C, K_C) = 0$ *. It follows that*  $\mathcal{Z}_{2i+3,i}$  *is a divisor on*  $\mathcal{M}_{2i+3}$ *.* 

**Remark 5.10.** For  $g = 23$  Theorem [5.7](#page-26-0) shows that  $s(\mathcal{Z}_{23,10}) = s(K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}}) = 13/2$ . This implies that  $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}) \geq 0$ , in particular  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}$  is not uniruled. A finer analysis using Brill-Noether divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}$  proves the stronger inequality  $\kappa(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{23}) \geq 2$ , cf. [\[F1\]](#page-43-8).

We finish this section by briefly discussing the proof of Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) in even genus. This is achieved in [\[EH3\]](#page-42-0) and it relies on the calculation of class of the Gieseker-Petri divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ . We fix integers  $r, s \ge 1$  and set  $g := s(r + 1)$  and  $d := r(s + 1)$ . Note that  $\rho(g,r,d) = 0$  and every even genus appears in this way. A general curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_q$ has a finite number of linear series  $L \in W^r_d(C)$  and for each of them, the multiplication map

$$
\mu_0(L): H^0(C, L) \otimes H^0(C, K_C \otimes L^{\vee}) \to H^0(C, K_C)
$$

is an isomorphism. We define the Gieseker-Petri locus

 $\mathcal{GP}_{g,d}^r := \{[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g : \exists L \in W^r_d(C) \text{ such that } \mu_0(L) \text{ is not injective } \}.$ 

The following result is proved in [\[F3\]](#page-43-7) Theorem 1.6. The case  $s = 2$ ,  $g = 2r + 2$ , which is the most important and the one used in the proof of Theorem [1.1,](#page-1-0) has been originally settled in [\[EH3\]](#page-42-0). The proof given in [\[F3\]](#page-43-7) which uses the techniques of Koszul cohomology, is however substantially shorter.

**Theorem 5.11.** For  $d = rs + r$  and  $g = rs + s$ , the locus  $\mathcal{GP}_{g,d}^r$  has at least one divisorial component. The slope of the divisorial part of its compactification  $\overline{\mathcal{GP}}_{g,d}^r$  in  $\overline{\mathcal M}_g$  is given by the *formula:*

$$
s(\overline{\mathcal{GP}}_{g,d}^r)=6+\frac{12}{g+1}+\frac{6(s+r+1)(rs+s-2)(rs+s-1)}{s(s+1)(r+1)(r+2)(rs+s+4)(rs+s+1)}.
$$

## 6. THE LOCUS OF K3 SECTIONS IN THE MODULI SPACE

To extend Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) and show that  $\mathcal{M}_g$  is of general for a genus  $g \leq 23$ , one needs to construct effective divisors  $D \in \text{Eff}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q)$  of slope

$$
s(D) < \frac{13}{2} \le 6 + \frac{12}{g+1}.
$$

One is lead to consider geometric conditions for curves  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$ , which are divisorial in moduli but are satisfied by all curves lying on  $K3$  surfaces. Thus it makes sense to study more systematically the geometry of curves of arbitrary genus on  $K3$  surfaces.

Let S be a K3 surface and  $C \subset S$  a smooth curve of genus g. We choose a linear series  $A \in W_d^r(C)$  with  $d \leq g-1$ , satisfying the following properties:

- Both linear series  $A \in W_d^r(C)$  and  $K_C \otimes A^{\vee} \in W_{2g-2-d}^{g-d+r-1}$  $\frac{q-q-r-1}{2q-2-d}(C)$  are base point free.
- Both multiplication maps

$$
H^0(C, A) \otimes H^0(C, K_C) \to H^0(C, A \otimes K_C)
$$

and

$$
H^0(C, A) \otimes H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes A^{\vee}) \to H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2})
$$

are surjective.

We recall that the Lazarsfeld bundle  $M_A$  on  $C$  comes from the exact sequence

$$
0 \to M_A \to H^0(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \stackrel{ev_C}{\to} A \to 0
$$

and we set  $Q_A := M_A^{\vee}$ , hence rank $(Q_A) = r$  and  $det(Q_A) = K_C$ . Following an idea due to Mukai [\[Mu3\]](#page-44-11), we show that  $C$  possesses many higher rank vector bundles with unexpectedly many global sections. These bundles are restrictions of vector bundles on *S* and their existence will ultimately single out the *K*3 locus  $K_g$  in  $\mathcal{M}_g$ :

**Theorem 6.1.** *Given a smooth curve*  $C \subset S$  *and*  $A \in W_d^r(C)$  *as above, there exists a vector bundle*  $E_A \in SU_C(r+1, K_C)$  *sitting in an exact sequence* 

$$
0 \longrightarrow Q_A \longrightarrow E_A \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0,
$$

and satisfying the condition  $h^0(C, E_A) = h^0(C, A) + h^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee}) = g - d + 2r + 1$ .

*Proof.* Viewing A as a sheaf on S, we define the sheaf  $\tilde{F}_A$  through the exact sequence

<span id="page-29-0"></span>
$$
0 \longrightarrow \tilde{F}_A \longrightarrow H^0(A) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \xrightarrow{ev_S} A \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Since A is a base point free line bundle,  $F_A$  is a vector bundle on S. We consider the vector bundle  $\tilde{E}_A := \tilde{F}_A^\vee$  on  $S$ , which sits in an exact sequence

(18) 
$$
0 \longrightarrow H^0(A)^{\vee} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \longrightarrow \tilde{E}_A \longrightarrow K_C \otimes A^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0.
$$

We write down the following commutative diagram

$$
H^{0}(A) \underset{\bigcup\limits_{\substack{F_A \\ \vdots \\ \substack{F_A \\
$$

from which, if we set  $F_A := \tilde{F}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$  and  $E_A := \tilde{E}_A \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$ , we obtain the exact sequence

<span id="page-30-0"></span>
$$
0 \longrightarrow M_A \otimes K_C^{\vee} \longrightarrow H^0(A) \otimes K_C^{\vee} \longrightarrow F_A \longrightarrow M_A \longrightarrow 0
$$

(use that  $\text{Tor}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^1(M_A,\mathcal{O}_C)=M_A\otimes K_C^{\vee}$ ). Taking duals, we find the exact sequence

(19) 
$$
0 \longrightarrow Q_A \longrightarrow E_A \longrightarrow K_C \otimes A^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0.
$$

Since  $S$  is regular, from [\(18\)](#page-29-0) we obtain that  $h^0(S, \tilde{E}_A) = h^0(C, A) + h^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee})$  while  $H^0(S,\tilde{E}_A\otimes\mathcal{O}_S(-C))=0$ , that is,

$$
h^{0}(S, \tilde{E}_{A}) \leq h^{0}(C, E_{A}) \leq h^{0}(C, A) + h^{0}(C, K_{C} \otimes A^{\vee}).
$$

This shows that the sequence [\(19\)](#page-30-0) is exact on global sections and completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-30-1"></span>**Corollary 6.2.** Let  $C \subset S$  and  $A \in W_d^r(C)$  be as above. Then the multiplication map

$$
H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee}) \otimes H^0(C, K_C \otimes M_A) \to H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes A^{\vee} \otimes M_A)
$$

is not surjective. In particular, for every base point free pencil  $A\in W^1_d(C)$  with  $d\leq g-1$ , the *multiplication map*

$$
\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee}) \to H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes A^{\otimes (-2)})
$$

*is not surjective.*

*Proof.* The existence of the bundle  $E_A \in \text{Ext}^1(K_C \otimes A^{\vee}, Q_A) = H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes A^{\vee} \otimes M_A)^{\vee}$ satisfying  $h^0(C, E_A) = h^0(C, Q_A) + h^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee})$  implies that the coboundary map

$$
\mathrm{Ext}^1\Big(K_C\otimes A^{\vee}, Q_A\Big)\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}\Big(H^0(C, K_C\otimes A^{\vee}), H^1(C, Q_A)\Big)
$$

<span id="page-30-2"></span>given by  $E \mapsto \delta_E$ , is not injective. We finish the proof by applying Serre duality.  $\square$ 

**Corollary 6.3.** *For*  $C \subset S$  *and*  $A \in W_d^r(C)$  *as above, we have that* 

$$
h^0(C, Q_A \otimes Q_{K_C \otimes A^{\vee}}) \geq h^0(C, A)h^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee}) + 1.
$$

*Proof.* We tensor the exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow M_{K_C \otimes A^{\vee}} \longrightarrow H^0(K_C \otimes A^{\vee}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \longrightarrow K_C \otimes A^{\vee} \longrightarrow 0
$$

by the vector bundle  $M_A \otimes K_C$ , then apply Corollary [6.2.](#page-30-1) The conclusion follows because by assumption  $H^1(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes A^{\vee} \otimes M_A) = 0.$ 

<span id="page-31-0"></span>Corollary [6.2](#page-30-1) can be simplified in the case of linear series of dimension  $\geq 2$ . For instance we have the following characterization which will be used in Section 6:

**Proposition 6.4.** *Given*  $C \subset S$  *a Brill-Noether general curve and*  $A \in W_d^2(C)$  *a complete linear series as above, the multiplication map*

$$
\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee}) \to H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes A^{\otimes (-2)})
$$

*is not surjective.*

*Proof.* We start by choosing points  $p, q \in C$  such that  $A \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p - q) \in W^1_{d-2}(C)$ . We can write the following exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(p+q) \longrightarrow Q_A \longrightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p-q) \longrightarrow 0,
$$

which we use together with Corollary [6.3](#page-30-2) to write the inequalities

$$
h^0(C, A) h^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee}) + 1 \leq h^0(C, Q_A \otimes Q_{K_C \otimes A^{\vee}}) \leq
$$

 $\leq h^0(C, Q_{K_C\otimes A^{\vee}}\otimes \mathcal{O}_C(p+q)) + h^0(C, Q_{K_C\otimes A^{\vee}}\otimes A\otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-p-q)).$ 

We apply the Base point free pencil trick to note that the multiplication map

$$
H^0(C, K_C(-p-q)) \otimes H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee}) \to H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes A^{\vee}(-p-q))
$$

is surjective, hence  $h^0(C, Q_{K_C\otimes A^{\vee}}(p+q))=h^0(C, K_C\otimes A^{\vee}).$  Then one must have

$$
h^0(C, Q_{K_C\otimes A^{\vee}}\otimes A(-p-q))>2h^0(C, K_C\otimes A^{\vee}),
$$

which implies that the multiplication map

$$
H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee}) \otimes H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee}(p+q)) \to H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes A^{\otimes (-2)}(p+q))
$$

is not surjective. Since  $h^0(C, K_C\otimes A^{\vee}(p+q))=h^0(C, K_C\otimes A^{\vee})+1$ , this implies that the map

$$
\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee}) \to H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes A^{\otimes (-2)})
$$

is not surjective either.

**Example 6.5.** As an illustration, a general curve  $[C] \in M_{21}$  carries a finite number of linear series  $A \in W^2_{16}(C)$  and  $C$  $|K_C \otimes A^{\vee}|$  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\leftrightarrow}$  **P**<sup>6</sup> is an embedding for all  $A \in W_{16}^2(C)$ . The locus

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{21} := \{ [C] \in \mathcal{M}_{21} : \exists A \in W_{16}^2(C) \text{ with } \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^\vee) \ncong H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes A^{\otimes (-2)}) \}
$$

contains the locus  $K_{21}$  of sections of K3 surfaces. Since

rank Sym<sup>2</sup>
$$
H^0(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee})
$$
 = rank  $H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes A^{\otimes (-2)})$ ,

clearly  $\mathcal{Z}_{21}$  is a virtual divisor on  $\mathcal{M}_{21}$ . In fact  $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{21}$  is an "honest" divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{21}$  of slope  $s(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{21}) < 6 + 12/22$  (cf. [\[F3\]](#page-43-7), [\[Kh\]](#page-43-24)). Unfortunately,  $s(\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{21}) > 6.5$ , so one cannot conclude that  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{21}$  is of general type.

$$
\Box
$$

To summarize, the existence of the vector bundles  $E_A$  shows that curves  $C$  on K3 surfaces carry line bundles of the form  $K_C \otimes A^{\vee}$  having very special geometric properties (Corollary [6.3\)](#page-30-2). The vector bundles  $E_A$  are produced starting from any linear  $\text{series } A \in W^r_d(C)$  satisfying suitable genericity condition. This leads to the construction of Koszul divisors on  $\mathcal{M}_q$  as being push-forwards of degeneracy loci defined on stacks  $\tilde{\mathfrak{G}}_d^r$  of limit linear series, cf. [\[F2\]](#page-43-18), [\[F3\]](#page-43-7).

6.1. **Koszul divisors on**  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$ . We can rewrite Corollary [6.2](#page-30-1) in terms of Koszul cohomology groups. A curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{K}_g$  enjoys the property that  $K_{0,2}(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee}) \neq 0$  for every pencil  $A \in W_d^1(C)$  with  $d \leq g-1$  such that  $K_C \otimes A^{\vee}$  is globally generated. This suggests an obvious ways of constructing geometric divisors on  $\mathcal{M}_q$  which contain the  $K3$ locus  $K_g$  by looking at the higher (rather than 0-th order) Koszul cohomology groups  $K_{i,2}(C,K_C \otimes A^{\vee})$ . From a technical point of view the simplest case is when one considers syzygies of linear series residual to a pencil of minimal degree in the case when the general curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  has a finite number of such pencils. The situation when the Brill-Noether number is positive will be considered in the forthcoming paper [\[F4\]](#page-43-29). A special case of that new construction can be found in Section 7 of this paper.

We fix an integer  $i \geq 0$  and set

$$
g := 6i + 10
$$
,  $d := 9i + 12$ , and  $r := 3i + 4$ 

hence  $\rho(g,r,d) = 0$ . We consider the open substack  $\mathbf{M}_g^0 \, \subset \, \mathbf{M}_g$  consisting of curves  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  such that  $W^r_{d-1}(C) = \emptyset$  and  $W^{r+1}_d(C) = \emptyset$ . Note that for a curve  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}^0_{g'}$ each  $L \in W^{3i+4}_{9i+12}(C)$  is complete and base point free. From Riemann-Roch, the residual linear series  $K_C\otimes L^{\vee}\in W^1_{3i+6}(C)$  is a pencil of minimal degree. We would like to study the locus of curves  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_g$  carrying a linear series  $L \in W^r_d(C)$  with extra syzygies of order *i*. Our numerical choices for  $g, r$  and  $d$  imply that this locus is a (virtual) divisor on  $\mathcal{M}_g$ . Whenever it is a divisor, it is guaranteed to contain  $\mathcal{K}_g$ . The next theorem comes from [\[F2\]](#page-43-18):

**Theorem 6.6.** *There exists a partial compactification*  $M_g^0 \subset \widetilde{M}_g \subset \overline{M}_g$  *of the stack of smooth curves with* codim $(\overline{M}_q - \widetilde{M}_q) \geq 2$ , such that if

$$
\sigma:\widetilde{\mathfrak G}^{1}_{3i+6}\rightarrow \widetilde{\boldsymbol M}_g
$$

*denotes the stack of limit linear series, then there exist vector bundles* A *and* B *of the same rank* together with a vector bundle morphism  $\phi_i:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}$  over  $\mathfrak{G}^1_{3i+6}$  such that the degeneracy locus of  $\phi_i$  over  $\sigma^{-1}(\bm{M}_g^0)$  equals

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{g,i} := \{ [C, A] \in \mathfrak{G}_{3i+6}^1 : K_{i,2}(C, K_C \otimes A^{\vee}) \neq 0 \}.
$$

*The slope of the virtual class of*  $\overline{z}_{q,i}$  *is equal to* 

$$
s\big(\overline{z}_{g,i}]^{virt}\big)=s\big(\sigma_*c_1(\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{A})\big)=\frac{3(4i+7)(6i^2+19i+12)}{(i+2)(12i^2+31i+18)}<6+\frac{12}{g+1}.
$$

The question of generic non-degeneracy of the morphism  $\phi_i$  is addressed in [\[F2\]](#page-43-18). It is proved that  $\phi_i$  is generically non-degenerate for  $i=0,1,2.$  In particular, the locus  $\overline{Z}_{22,2}$  is an effective divisor on  $\overline{M}_{22}$  of slope  $s(\overline{Z}_{22,2}) = 1665/256 = 6.5032...$  This barely fails to make  $\mathcal{M}_{22}$  of general type!

It is conjectured in [\[F2\]](#page-43-18) that  $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}_{g,i}$  is an actual divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{6i+10}$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . To show that  $\mathcal{Z}_{22,2}$  is a divisor on  $\mathcal{M}_{22}$  (rather than the entire space  $\mathcal{M}_{22}$ ), we use that (i) the Hurwitz stack  $\mathfrak{G}^1_{12}$  is irreducible and (ii) one can find a smooth embedded genus  $22$ curve  $C \stackrel{\mathfrak{g}_{30}^{10}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathbf{P}^{10}$  of genus 22, such that  $K_{2,2}(C,\mathfrak{g}_{30}^{10}) = 0$ . In other words,  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^{10}$  is cut out by quadrics and all the syzygies among the quadrics are linear.

Because  $\mathfrak{G}^1_{12}$  is irreducible, this implies that if  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{22}$  is a general curve, then  $K_{2,2}(C, K_C\otimes A^{\vee})=0$ , for all  $A\in W^1_{12}(C)$ . The irreducibility of the Hurwitz stack  $\mathfrak{G}^1_{12}$ makes it possible to derive information about all  $\mathfrak{g}_{12}^1$ 's on a general curve, even though we can only see one  $\mathfrak{g}_{12}^1$  at a time. This trick (which has been used again in [\[F3\]](#page-43-7) to prove the Maximal Rank Conjecture), only works in the case  $\rho(g,r,d) = 0$ . Proving transversality statements for Koszul divisors in the case  $\rho(g,r,d) \geq 1$  requires different ideas.

# 7. THE KODAIRA DIMENSION OF  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{22}$

In this section we outline the calculation of the class  $\overline{2}_{22}$  of an effective divisor on  $M_{22}$  of slope less than 13/2. Complete details of a more general construction (of which Theorem [7.1](#page-33-0) is a particular case) will appear in [\[F4\]](#page-43-29). Precisely, we shall present in [\[F4\]](#page-43-29) a way of computing the class of all Koszul divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  defined in terms of linear series  $\mathfrak{g}_d^r$  in the case  $\rho(g,r,d) = 1$ . (The case  $\rho(g,r,d) = 0$  has been dealt with in [\[F3\]](#page-43-7)). Specializing  $(g, r, d) = (22, 6, 25)$  we obtain our result on the Kodaira dimension of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{22}$ .

<span id="page-33-0"></span>**Theorem 7.1.** *The following locus of smooth curves of genus* 22

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{22} := \{ [C] \in \mathcal{M}_{22} : \exists L \in W^6_{25}(C) \text{ with } \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L) \to H^0(C, L^{\otimes 2}) \text{ not injective} \}
$$

*is a divisor on*  $M_{22}$ . The class of its compactification on  $\overline{M}_{22}$  *is given by the formula:* 

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{22} \equiv 132822768 \Big( \frac{17121}{2636} \lambda - \delta_0 - \frac{14511}{2636} \delta_0 - \sum_{j=2}^{11} b_j \delta_j \Big),
$$

*where*  $b_j > 1$  *for*  $2 \le j \le 11$ *. It follows that*  $s(\bar{\mathfrak{D}}_{22}) = 17121/2636 = 6.49506...$ *, therefore*  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{22}$  *is of general type.* 

We discuss the calculation of the class of  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{22}$  viewed as a virtual degeneracy locus on a partial compactification of  $\overline{M}_{22}$ . The proof that  $\overline{D}_{22}$  is indeed a divisor on  $\overline{M}_{22}$ , that is, that for a general curve  $[C]\in \mathcal{M}_{22}$  we have that  $\mathrm{Sym}^2 H^0(C,L) \rightarrow H^0(C,L^{\otimes 2})$ is injective for *all*  $L \in W^6_{25}(C)$  will be presented in [\[F4\]](#page-43-29) as part of a more general version of the Maximal Rank Conjecture (see again [\[F3\]](#page-43-7) Theorem 1.5 for the corresponding statement when  $\rho(g,r,d) = 0$ ).

The idea is to construct two tautological vector bundles over the Severi variety  $\mathfrak{G}^2_{17}$  of curves  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{22}$  with a plane model  $\mathfrak{g}^2_{17}$  and then define the divisor  $\mathfrak{D}_{22}$  as the image of the first degeneration locus of a natural map between these bundles.

We denote by  $M_{22}^p$  the open substack of  $M_{22}$  consisting of curves  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{22}$  such that  $W^6_{24}(C) = \emptyset$  and  $W^7_{25}(C) = \emptyset$ . Standard results in Brill-Noether theory guarantee that codim $(\mathcal{M}_{22} - \mathcal{M}_{22}^p, \mathcal{M}_{22}) \geq 2$ . If  $\mathfrak{Pic}^{25}_{22}$  denotes the Picard stack of degree 25 over  $\mathcal{M}_{22}^p$ , then we consider the substack  $\mathfrak{G}^6_{25}$   $\subset$   $\mathfrak{Pic}^{25}_{22}$  parameterizing pairs  $[C, L]$  where  $[C] \in M_{22}^p$  and  $L \in W_{25}^6(C)$ . We denote by

$$
\sigma: \mathfrak{G}^6_{25} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{22}^p
$$

the forgetful morphism. For a general  $[C] \in M_{22}^p$ , the fibre  $\sigma^{-1}([C]) = W_{25}^6(C)$  is a smooth curve and  $\mathfrak{G}^6_{25}$  is an irreducible stack of dimension dim  $\mathfrak{G}^6_{25} = \dim \mathcal{M}_{22} + 1$ .

Let  $\pi: \mathbf{M}_{22,1}^p \to \mathbf{M}_{22}^p$  be the universal curve and then  $p_2: \mathbf{M}_{22,1}^p\times_{\mathbf{M}_{22}^p} \mathfrak{G}^6_{25} \to \mathfrak{G}^6_{25}$ denotes the natural projection. If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a Poincaré bundle over  $M^p_{22,1} \times_{M^p_{22}} \mathfrak{G}^6_{25}$ , then by Grauert's Theorem  $\mathcal{E}:=(p_2)_*(\mathcal{L})$  and  $\mathcal{F}:=(p_2)_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})$  are vector bundles over  $\mathfrak{G}^6_{25}$  with  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E})=7$  and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{F})=29.$  There is a natural vector bundle morphism over  $\mathfrak{G}^6_{25}$ 

$$
\phi: \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{F}
$$

and we denote by  $U_{22} \subset \mathfrak{G}^6_{25}$  its first degeneracy locus. We set  $\mathfrak{D}_{22} := \sigma_*(\mathcal{U}_{22})$  and clearly  $U_{22}$  has expected codimension 2 inside  $\mathfrak{G}^6_{25}$  hence  $\mathfrak{D}_{22}$  is a virtual divisor on  $\mathcal{M}_{22}^p$ .

Using Proposition [6.4,](#page-31-0) we are guaranteed that  $\mathfrak{D}_{22}$  contains the K3 locus  $\mathcal{K}_{22}$ , in particular it is a good candidate for a divisor on  $\overline{M}_{22}$  of exceptionally small slope. We shall extend the vector bundles  $\mathcal E$  and  $\mathcal F$  over a partial compactification of  $\mathfrak{G}^6_{25}$ . We denote by  $\Delta_1^p \subset \Delta_1^0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  the locus of curves  $[\tilde{C} \cup_y E]$ , where  $E$  is an arbitrary elliptic curve,  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1}$  is a Brill-Noether general curve and  $y \in C$  is an arbitrary point. We also denote by  $\Delta_0^p \subset \Delta_0^0 \subset \overline{\mathcal M}_g$  the locus consisting of curves  $[C_{yq}] \in \Delta_0^0$ , where  $[C,q] \in \mathcal{M}_{g-1,1}$  is Brill-Noether general and  $y \in C$  is arbitrary, as well as their degenerations  $[C \cup_q E_\infty]$  where  $E_\infty$  is a rational nodal curve (that is,  $j(E_\infty) = \infty$ ). Once we set

$$
\overline{\mathbf{M}}^p_g := \mathbf{M}^p_g \cup \Delta^p_0 \cup \Delta^p_1 \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_g,
$$

we can extend the map  $\sigma$  to a proper morphism  $\sigma$  :  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}^6_{25} \to \overline{\mathbf{M}}^p_{22}$  from the stack  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}^6_{25}$  of limit linear series  $\mathfrak{g}^6_{25}$  over the partial compactification  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{22}^p$  of  $\mathbf{M}_{22}$ .

Like in to [\[F2\]](#page-43-18), [\[F3\]](#page-43-7) or in Section 5 of this paper, we intersect the (virtual) divisor  $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{22}$  with the test curves  $C^0 \subset \Delta_0^p$  $_0^p$  and  $C^1 \subset \Delta_1^p$  $_1^p$  obtained from a general pointed curve  $[C,q] \in \mathcal{M}_{21,1}$  and a general elliptic curve  $[E,y] \in \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ . We explicitly describe the pull-back 2-cycles under  $\sigma$  of the test curves  $C^0$  and  $C^1$ :

<span id="page-34-0"></span>**Proposition 7.2.** *Fix general curves*  $[C] \in M_{21}$  *and*  $[E, y] \in M_{1,1}$  *and consider the associated* test curve  $C^1\subset \Delta_1\subset \overline{\mathcal M}_{22}.$  Then we have the following equality of 2-cycles in  $\mathfrak{G}^6_{25}.$ 

$$
\sigma^*(C^1) = X + X_1 \times X_2 + \Gamma_0 \times Z_0 + n_1 \cdot Z_1 + n_2 \cdot Z_2 + n_3 \cdot Z_3,
$$

*where*

$$
X := \{(y, L) \in C \times W_{25}^{6}(C) : h^{0}(C, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-2y)) = 6\},
$$
  
\n
$$
X_{1} := \{(y, L) \in C \times W_{25}^{6}(C) : a^{L}(y) = (0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8)\},
$$
  
\n
$$
X_{2} := \{l_{E} \in G_{8}^{6}(E) : a_{1}^{l_{E}}(y) \ge 2, a_{6}^{l_{E}}(y) = 8\} \cong \mathbf{P}\Big(\frac{H^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{E}(8y))}{H^{0}(\mathcal{O}_{E}(6y))}\Big)
$$

$$
\Gamma_0 := \{ (y, A \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(y)) : y \in C, A \in W_{24}^6(C) \}, Z_0 = G_7^6(E) \cong E,
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_1 := \{ l_E \in G_9^6(E) : a_1^{l_E}(y) \ge 3, a_6^{l_E}(y) = 9 \} \cong \mathbf{P} \Big( \frac{H^0(\mathcal{O}_E(9y))}{H^0(\mathcal{O}_E(6y))} \Big),
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_2 := \{ l_E \in G_8^6(E) : a_2^{l_E}(y) \ge 3, a_6^{l_E}(y) = 8 \} \cong \mathbf{P} \Big( \frac{H^0(\mathcal{O}_E(8y))}{H^0(\mathcal{O}_E(5y))} \Big),
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_3 := \{ l_E \in G_8^6(E) : a^{l_E}(y) \ge (0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7) \} \cong \bigcup_{z \in E} \mathbf{P} \Big( \frac{H^0(\mathcal{O}_E(7y + z))}{H^0(\mathcal{O}_E(5y + z))} \Big),
$$

*where the constants*  $n_1, n_2$  *and*  $n_3$  *are explicitly known positive integers.* 

**Remark 7.3.** The constants  $n_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$  have the following enumerative interpretation. First  $n_1$  is the number of linear series  $L \in W^6_{25}(C)$  such that there exists an unspecified point  $y \in C$  with  $a^L(y) = (0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9)$ . Similarly,  $n_2$  is the number of those  $L \in$  $W^6_{25}(C)$  for which there exists  $y \in C$  with  $a^L(y) = (0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8)$ . Finally  $n_3$  is the number of points  $y \in C$  such that there exists  $L \in W^6_{24}(C)$  which is ramified at  $y$ . If  $n_0$ is the number of  $\mathfrak{g}_{24}^6$ 's on  $C$ , then  $\Gamma_0$  consists of  $n_0$  disjoint copies of the curve  $C$ .

Before describing  $\sigma^*(C^0)$ , we set some more notation. For a general pointed curve  $[C,q] \in \mathcal{M}_{21,1}$  we denote by Y the surface

$$
Y := \{(y, L) \in C \times W^6_{25}(C) : h^0(C, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-y - q)) = 6\}
$$

and by  $\pi_1 : Y \to C$  the first projection. Inside Y we consider two curves corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}_{25}^6$ 's with a base point at  $q$ :

$$
\Gamma_1 := \{ (y, A \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(y)) : y \in C, A \in W_{24}^6(C) \} \text{ and } \Gamma_2 := \{ (y, A \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(q)) : y \in C, A \in W_{24}^6(C) \}
$$

intersecting transversally in  $n_0 = #(W_{24}^6(C))$  points. Note that since  $[C] \in M_{21}$  is Brill-Noether general,  $W^6_{24}(C)$  is a reduced 0-dimensional scheme consisting of  $n_0$  very ample (in particular, base point free)  $\mathfrak{g}_{24}^6$ 's. We denote by  $Y'$  the blow-up of  $Y$  at these  $n_0$  points and at the points  $(q, B) \in Y$  where  $B \in W^6_{25}(C)$  is a linear series with the property that  $h^0(C, B \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-8q)) \geq 1$ . We denote by  $E_A, E_B \subset Y'$  the exceptional divisors corresponding to  $(q, A \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(q))$  and  $(q, B)$  respectively, by  $\epsilon : Y' \to Y$  the projection and by  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \subset Y'$  the strict transforms of  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  respectively.

<span id="page-35-0"></span>**Proposition 7.4.** *Fix a general curve*  $[C, q] \in M_{21,1}$  *and consider the associated test curve*  $C^0\subset \Delta_0\subset \overline{\mathcal M}_{22}.$  Then we have the following equality of 2-cycles in  $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}^6_{25}\mathfrak{g}.$ 

$$
\sigma^*(C^0) = Y'/\widetilde{\Gamma}_1 \cong \widetilde{\Gamma}_2,
$$

*that is,* σ ∗ (C 0 ) *can be naturally identified with the surface obtained from* Y ′ *by identifying the disjoint curves*  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_1$  *and*  $\widetilde{\Gamma}_2$  *over each pair*  $(y, A) \in C \times W^6_{24}(C)$ *.* 

*Proof.* We fix a point  $y \in C - \{q\}$ , denote by  $[C_{yq} := C/y \sim q] \in \Delta_0^p \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{22}$  and by  $\nu:C\to C_{yq}$  the normalization map. We describe the variety  $\overline{W}_{25}^6(C_{yq})\subset \overline{\mathrm{Pic}}^{25}(C_{yq})$  of torsion-free sheaves L on the 1-nodal curve  $C_{yq}$ , with  $\deg(L) = 25$  and  $h^0(C_{yq}, L) \ge 7$ .

If  $L\in W^6_{25}(C_{yq})\subset \overline{W}^6_{25}(C_{yq})$ , that is,  $L$  is a locally free sheaf, then  $L$  is completely determined by  $\nu^*(L) \in W^6_{25}(C)$  which has the property that  $h^0(C, \nu^*L \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-y-q)) =$ 

6. However, the line bundles of type  $A\otimes \mathcal{O}_C(y)$  or  $A\otimes \mathcal{O}_C(q)$  with  $A\in W^6_{24}(C)$ , do not appear in this association even though they have this property. In fact, they correspond to the situation when  $L \in \overline{W}_{25}^{6}(C_{yq})$  is not locally free, in which case necessarily one has that  $L = \nu_*(A)$ , for some  $A \in W^6_{24}(C)$ . Thus  $Y \cap \pi_1^{-1}(y)$  is the partial normalization of  $\overline{W}_{25}^6(C_{yq})$  at the  $n_0$  points of the form  $\nu_*(A)$  with  $A \in W_{24}^6(C)$ . A special analysis is required when  $y=q$ , that is, when  $C_y^0$  degenerates to  $C\cup_q E_\infty$ , where  $E_\infty$  is a rational nodal cubic. If  $\{l_C,l_{E_\infty}\}\in \sigma^{-1}([C\cup_q E_\infty])$ , then an argument along the lines of Theorem [7.2](#page-34-0) shows that  $\rho(l_C,q) \geq 0$  and  $\rho(l_{E_{\infty}},q) \leq 1$ . Then either  $l_C$  has a base point at q and then the underlying line bundle of  $l_C$  is of type  $A\otimes\mathcal{O}_C(q)$  while  $l_{E_\infty}(-18q)\in \overline{W}^6_7$  $\frac{6}{7}(E_{\infty})$ , or else,  $a^{l_C}(q) = (0, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8)$  and then  $l_{E_\infty}(-17q) \in \mathbf{P}\big(H^0(E_\infty(8q))/H^0(E_\infty(6q))\big) \cong$ E<sub>B</sub>, where  $B \in W^6_{25}(C)$  is the underlying line bundle of  $l_C$ .

We extend the vector bundles  $\mathcal E$  and  $\mathcal F$  over the stack  $\tilde{\mathfrak G}^6_{25}$  of limit linear series. The proof of the following result proceeds along the lines of the proof of Proposition 3.9 in [\[F2\]](#page-43-18):

**Proposition 7.5.** *There exist two vector bundles*  $\mathcal E$  *and*  $\mathcal F$  *defined over*  $\mathfrak{G}^6_{25}$  *with*  $\text{rank}(\mathcal E)=7$ and  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{F})=29$  together with a vector bundle morphism  $\phi:\text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E})\to\mathcal{F}$ , such that the *following statements hold:*

- For  $(C, L) \in \mathfrak{G}^6_{25}$ , with  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{22}^p$ , we have that  $\mathcal{E}(L) = H^0(C, L)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(L) =$  $H^0(C, L^{\otimes 2}).$
- For  $t = (C \cup_y E, l_C, l_E) \in \sigma^{-1}(\Delta_1^p)$ , where  $g(C) = 21, g(E) = 1$  and  $l_C = |L_C|$  is  $\mathcal{E}$  *such that*  $L_C \in W^6_{25}(C)$  *has a cusp at*  $y \in C$ *, then*  $\mathcal{E}(t) = H^0(C, L_C)$  *and*

$$
\mathcal{F}(t) = H^0(C, L_C^{\otimes 2}(-2y)) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot u^2,
$$

 $\nonumber$  where  $u \in H^0(C, L_C)$  is any section such that  $\operatorname{ord}_y(u) = 0$ . If  $L_C$  has a base point at y*, then*

$$
\mathcal{E}(t) = H^0(C, L_C) = H^0(C, L_C \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-y))
$$

and the image of a natural map  $\mathcal{F}(t)\,\to\, H^0(C,L_C^{\otimes 2})$  is the subspace  $H^0(C,L_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes$  $\mathcal{O}_C(-2y)$ ).

 $\bullet$  Fix  $t = (C_{yq} := C/y \sim q, L) \in \sigma^{-1}(\Delta_0^p)$ , with  $q, y \in C$  and  $L \in \overline{W}^6_{25}(C_{yq})$  such that  $h^0(C, \nu^* L \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-y-q)) = 6$ , where  $\nu: C \to C_{yq}$  is the normalization map.

*In the case when* L *is locally free we have that*

$$
\mathcal{E}(t) = H^0(C, \nu^* L) \text{ and}
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}(t) = H^0(C, \nu^* L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-y-q)) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot u^2,
$$

 $\mathit{where}\ u\in H^0(C,\nu^*L)$  is any section not vanishing at  $y$  and  $q.$  In the case when  $L$  is not  $[locally\, free,\, that\ is,\ L\in \overline{W}_{25}^6(C_{yq})-W_{25}^6(C_{yq}),\, then\ L=\nu_*(A),\ where\ A\in W_{24}^6(C)$ and the image of the natural map  $\mathcal{F}(t)\to H^0(C,\nu^*L^{\otimes 2})$  is the subspace  $H^0(C,A^{\otimes 2}).$ 

We determine the cohomology classes of the surfaces  $X$  and  $Y$  introduced in Propositions [7.2](#page-34-0) and [7.4](#page-35-0) respectively. Our result are expressible in terms of standard cohomology classes on Jacobians (cf. [\[ACGH\]](#page-42-11), [\[F5\]](#page-43-30)), which we now recall. If  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_q$ 

is a curve satisfying the Brill-Noether theorem, we denote by  $P$  a Poincaré bundle on  $C\times \mathrm{Pic}^d(C)$  and by

$$
\pi_1: C \times Pic^d(C) \to C
$$
 and  $\pi_2: C \times Pic^d(C) \to Pic^d(C)$ 

the projections. We define the cohomology class  $\eta = \pi_1^*([point]) \in H^2(C \times Pic^d(C)),$ and if  $\delta_1,\ldots,\delta_{2g}\in H^1(C,\mathbb{Z})\cong H^1(\mathrm{Pic}^d(C),\mathbb{Z})$  is a symplectic basis, then we set

$$
\gamma := -\sum_{\alpha=1}^g \Bigl( \pi_1^*(\delta_\alpha) \pi_2^*(\delta_{g+\alpha}) - \pi_1^*(\delta_{g+\alpha}) \pi_2^*(\delta_\alpha) \Bigr).
$$

We have the formula  $c_1(\mathcal{P}) = d\eta + \gamma$ , corresponding to the Hodge decomposition of  $c_1(\mathcal{P})$ . We also record that  $\gamma^3=\gamma\eta=0$ ,  $\eta^2=0$  and  $\gamma^2=-2\eta\pi_2^*(\theta)$ . On  $W^r_d(C)$  we have the tautological rank  $r + 1$  vector bundle  $\mathcal{M} := (\pi_2)_*(\mathcal{P}_{|C \times W^r_d(C)})$ . The Chern numbers of  $M$  can be computed using the Harris-Tu formula. By repeatedly applying it, we get all intersection numbers on  $\bar{W}^r_d(C)$  which we need:

**Lemma 7.6.** If  $[C] \in M_{21}$  is Brill-Noether general and  $c_i := c_i(\mathcal{M}^{\vee})$  are the Chern classes of the dual of the tautological bundle on  $W^2_{17}(C)$ , we have the following identities in  $H^*(W^2_{17}(C),\mathbb Z)$ :

$$
[W_{17}^{2}(C)] = \frac{\theta^{18}}{73156608000}.
$$
  
\n
$$
x_1 \cdot \xi = \frac{\theta^{19} \cdot \xi}{219469824000},
$$
  
\n
$$
x_2 \cdot \xi = x_3 \cdot \xi = 0, \text{ for any } \xi \in H^4(\text{Pic}^{21}(\text{C})).
$$
  
\n
$$
x_1x_2 \cdot \xi = \frac{\theta^{20}}{1755758592000} \cdot \xi,
$$
  
\n
$$
x_1x_3 \cdot \xi = x_2x_3 \cdot \xi = 0, \text{ for any } \xi \in H^2(\text{Pic}^{21}(\text{C})),
$$
  
\n
$$
x_1^2 \cdot \xi = \frac{\theta^{20}}{1097349120000} \cdot \xi,
$$
  
\n
$$
x_2^2 \cdot \xi = -x_1x_2 \cdot \xi, \ x_3^2 \cdot \xi = 0, \text{ for any } \xi \in H^2(\text{Pic}^{21}(\text{C})),
$$
  
\n
$$
x_1^3 = \frac{\theta^{21}}{7242504192000}, \ x_2^3 = -\frac{t^{21}}{6584094720000},
$$
  
\n
$$
x_3^3 = x_1x_2x_3 = \frac{\theta^{21}}{36870930432000},
$$
  
\n
$$
x_1^2x_2 = -x_2^3, \ x_1x_2^2 = x_1^2x_3 = x_2x_3^2 = 0, \ x_1x_3^2 = x_2^2x_3 = -x_1x_2x_3.
$$

The next calculation is a particular case of [\[F5\]](#page-43-30) Proposition 2.7:

**Proposition 7.7.** *Let*  $[C] \in M_{21}$  *be a Brill-Noether general curve and*  $q \in C$  *a general point. If*  ${\mathcal M}$  *denotes the tautological rank* 3 *vector bundle over*  $W^2_{17}(C)$  *and*  $c_i := c_i({\mathcal M}^\vee)$ *, then one has the following relations:*

- (1)  $[X] = \pi_2^*(c_2) 6\eta\theta + (74\eta + 2\gamma)\pi_2^*(c_1) \in H^4(C \times W^2_{17}(C)).$
- (2)  $[Y] = \pi_2^*(c_2) 2\eta\theta + (16\eta + \gamma)\pi_2^*(c_1) \in H^4(C \times W^2_{17}(C)).$

*Proof.* By Riemann-Roch, if  $(y, L) \in X$ , then the line bundle  $M := K_C \otimes L^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(2y) \in X$  $W_{17}^2(C)$  has a cusp at y. We realize  $X$  as the degeneracy locus of a vector bundle map over  $C\times W^2_{17}(C).$  For each pair  $(y,M)\in C\times W^2_{17}(C),$  there is a natural map

$$
H^0(C, M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{2y})^{\vee} \to H^0(C, M)^{\vee}
$$

which globalizes to a vector bundle morphism  $\zeta: J_1(\mathcal{P})^\vee \to \pi_2^*(\mathcal{M})^\vee$  over  $C \times W^2_{17}(C)$ (Note that  $W_{17}^2(C)$  is a smooth 3-fold). Then we have the identification  $X = Z_1(\zeta)$  and the Thom-Porteous formula gives that  $[X] = c_2(\pi_2^*(\mathcal{M}) - J_1(\mathcal{P}^{\vee}))$ . From the usual exact sequence over  $C \times Pic^{17}(C)$ 

$$
0 \longrightarrow \pi_1^*(K_C) \otimes \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow J_1(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

we can compute the total Chern class of the jet bundle

$$
c_t(J_1(\mathcal{P})^{\vee})^{-1} = \left(\sum_{j\geq 0} (17\eta + \gamma)^j\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j\geq 0} (57\eta + \gamma)^j\right) = 1 - 6\eta\theta + 74\eta + 2\gamma,
$$

which quickly leads to the formula for  $[X]$ . To compute  $[Y]$  we proceed in a similar way. We denote by  $p_1, p_2 : C \times C \times Pic^{17}(C) \to C \times Pic^{17}(C)$  the two projections, by  $\Delta \subset C \times C \times \text{Pic}^{17}(C)$  the diagonal and we set  $\Gamma_q := \{q\} \times \text{Pic}^{17}(C)$ . We introduce the rank 2 vector bundle  $B:=(p_1)_*(p_2^*(P)\otimes {\mathcal O}_{\Delta+p_2^*(\Gamma_q)})$  defined over  $C\times W^2_{17}(C)$  and we note that there is a bundle morphism  $\chi : \mathcal{B}^{\vee} \to (\pi_2)^*(\mathcal{M})^{\vee}$  such that  $Y = Z_1(\chi)$ . Since we also have that

$$
c_t(\mathcal{B}^{\vee})^{-1} = (1 + (17\eta + \gamma) + (17\eta + \gamma)^2 + \cdots)(1 - \eta),
$$

we immediately obtained the desired expression for  $[Y]$ .

The next results are simple applications of Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch for the projection morphism  $p_2 : C \times C \times Pic^{17}(C) \to C \times Pic^{17}(C)$ :

**Proposition 7.8.** *Let*  $[C] \in M_{21}$  *and denote by*  $p_1, p_2 : C \times C \times Pic^{17}(C) \rightarrow C \times Pic^{17}(C)$ *the natural projections. We denote by*  $A_2$  *the vector bundle on*  $C \times Pic^{17}(C)$  *with fibre at each* point  ${\mathcal A}_2(y,M)=H^0(C,K_C^{\otimes 2}\otimes M^{\otimes (-2)}\otimes \mathcal{O}_C(2y)).$  We have the following formulas:

$$
c_1(A_2) = -4\theta - 4\gamma - 28\eta
$$
 and  $c_2(A_2) = 8\theta^2 + 104\eta\theta + 16\gamma\theta$ .

<span id="page-38-1"></span>**Proposition 7.9.** *Let*  $[C, q] \in M_{21,1}$  *be a general pointed curve an we denote by*  $\mathcal{B}_2$  *the vector bundle on C*  $\times$  Pic $^{17}(C)$  *having fibre B*<sub>2</sub>(y,  $M) = H^0\big(C, K_C^{\otimes 2}\otimes M^{\otimes (-2)}\otimes {\mathcal O}_C(y+q)\big)$  at each *point*  $(y, M) \in C \times \text{Pic}^{17}(C)$ *. Then we have that:* 

$$
c_1(\mathcal{B}_2) = -4\theta + 7\eta - 2\gamma \text{ and } c_2(\mathcal{B}_2) = 8\theta^2 - 28\eta\theta + 8\theta\gamma.
$$

<span id="page-38-0"></span>As a first step towards computing  $[\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{22}]$  we determine the  $\delta_1$  coefficient in its expression:

**Theorem 7.10.** Let  $[C] \in \mathcal{M}_{21}$  be Brill-Noether general and denote by  $C^1 \subset \Delta_1$  the associated test curve. Then  $\sigma^*(C^1)\cdot c_2(\mathcal{F}-\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E}))=4847375988.$  It follows that the coefficient of  $\delta_1$ *in the expansion of*  $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{22}$  *is equal to*  $b_1 = 731180268$ *.* 

*Proof.* We intersect the degeneracy locus of the map  $Sym^2(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{F}$  with the surface  $\sigma^*(C^1)$  and use that the vector bundles  $\mathcal E$  and  $\mathcal F$  were defined by retaining the sections of the genus 21 aspect of each limit linear series and dropping the information coming

$$
\Box
$$

from the elliptic curve. It follows that  $Z_i\cdot c_2(\mathcal{F}-\text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E}))=0$  for  $1\leq i\leq 3$  (since  $\mathcal F$ and  $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E}))$  are both trivial along the surfaces  $Z_i$ ), and  $[X_1 \!\times\! X_2]\!\cdot\! c_2(\mathcal{F}\!-\!\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E}))=0$ (because  $c_2(\mathcal{F}-\text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E}))_{|X_1\times X_2}$  is in fact the pull-back of a codimension  $2$  class from the 1-dimensional cycle  $X_1$ , therefore the intersection number is 0 for dimensional reasons). We are left with estimating the contribution coming from  $X$  and write that

 $\sigma^*(C^1) \cdot c_2(\mathcal{F} - \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E})) = c_2(\mathcal{F}_{|X}) - c_1(\mathcal{F}_{|X})c_1(\text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E}_{|X}) + c_1^2(\text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E}_{|X}) - c_2(\text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E}_{|X}).$ We are going to compute separately each term in the right-hand-side of this expression.

The surface  $X$  appears as the first degeneracy locus of a vector bundle morphism  $\zeta: J_1(\mathcal{P})^{\vee} \to \pi_2^*(\mathcal{M})^{\vee}$  which globalizes the maps

$$
H^0(C, M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{2y})^{\vee} \to H^0(C, M)^{\vee}
$$

for all  $(y, M) \in C \times W^2_{17}(C)$ . We denote by  $U := \text{Ker}(\zeta)$ . In other words, U is a line bundle on  $X$  with fibre

$$
U(y, M) = \frac{H^{1}(C, M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-2y))^{\vee}}{H^{1}(C, M)^{\vee}} = \frac{H^{0}(C, L)}{H^{0}(C, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}(-2y))}
$$

over a point  $(y, M) \in X$ . The Chern class of U can be computed from the Harris-Tu formula:

$$
c_1(U)\cdot\xi_{|X} = -c_3(\pi_2^*(\mathcal{M})^{\vee} - J_1(\mathcal{P})^{\vee})\cdot\xi_{|X} = -(\pi_2^*(c_3) - 6\eta\theta\pi_2^*(c_1) + (74\eta + 2\gamma)\pi_2^*(c_2))\cdot\xi_{|X},
$$
  
for any class  $\xi \in H^2(C \times W_{17}^2(C))$ , and

$$
c_1^2(U) = c_4(\pi_2^*(\mathcal{M})^{\vee} - J_1(\mathcal{P})^{\vee}) = \pi_2^*(c_3)(74\eta + 2\gamma) - 6\pi_2^*(c_2)\eta\theta.
$$

If  $A_3$  denotes the rank 30 vector bundle on  $X$  having fibres

$$
\mathcal{A}_3(y,M) = H^0(C, L^{\otimes 2}) = H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes M^{\otimes (-2)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(4y)),
$$

then there is an injective bundle morphism  $U^{\otimes 2}\hookrightarrow{\cal A}_3/{\cal A}_2$  and we consider the quotient sheaf

$$
\mathcal{G}:=\frac{\mathcal{A}_3/\mathcal{A}_2}{U^{\otimes 2}}
$$

We note that since the morphism  $U^{\otimes 2}\,\to\,{\cal A}_3/{\cal A}_2$  vanishes along the curve  $\Gamma_0$  corresponding to pairs  $(y, M)$  where M has a base point, G has torsion along  $\Gamma_0$ . A straightforward local analysis now shows that  $\mathcal{F}_{|X}$  can be identified as a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{A}_3$  with the kernel of the map  $A_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . Therefore, there is an exact sequence of vector bundles on  $X$ 

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2|X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{|X} \longrightarrow U^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow 0,
$$

which over a generic point of  $X$  corresponds to the decomposition

$$
\mathcal{F}(y,M) = H^0(C, L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-2y)) \oplus \mathbb{C} \cdot u^2,
$$

where  $u \in H^0(C, L)$  is such that  $\text{ord}_y(u) = 1$  (The analysis above, shows that the sequence stays exact over  $\Gamma_0$  as well). Hence

$$
c_1(\mathcal{F}_{|X}) = c_1(\mathcal{A}_{2|X}) + 2c_1(U)
$$

and  $c_2(\mathcal{F}_{|X}) = c_2(\mathcal{A}_{2|X}) + 2c_1(\mathcal{A}_{2|X})c_1(U)$ . Furthermore, we note that the vector bundle  $\pi_2^*\big(R^1\pi_{2*}({\cal P})\big)_{|X}^{\vee}$  is a subbundle of  $\mathcal{E}_{|X}$  and we have an exact sequence

$$
0\longrightarrow \pi_2^*\bigl(R^1\pi_{2*}({\cal P})\bigr)^{\vee}_{|X}\longrightarrow {\cal E}_{|X}\longrightarrow U\longrightarrow 0
$$

from which we find that  $c_1(\mathcal{E}_{|X}) = -\theta + \pi_2^*(c_1) + c_1(U)$ . Similarly, we have that

(20) 
$$
c_2(\mathcal{E}_{|X}) = \frac{\theta^2}{2} + \pi_2^*(c_2) - \theta \pi_2^*(c_1) - c_1(U)\pi_2^*(c_1) - \theta c_1(U).
$$

It is elementary to check that  $c_1(\mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E}_{|X})=8 \ c_1(\mathcal{E}_{|X})$  and that

$$
c_2(\text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E}_{|X}) = 27 \ c_1^2(\mathcal{E}_{|X}) + 9 \ c_2(\mathcal{E}_{|X}),
$$

therefore we obtain that

$$
\sigma^*(C^1) \cdot c_2(\mathcal{F} - \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E})) = c_2(\mathcal{A}_{2|X}) + c_1(\mathcal{A}_{2|X})c_1(U^{\otimes 2}) -
$$

$$
-8c_1(\mathcal{A}_{2|X})c_1(\mathcal{E}_{|X}) - 8c_1(\mathcal{E}_{|X})c_1(U^{\otimes 2}) + 37c_1^2(\mathcal{E}_{|X}) - 9c_2(\mathcal{E}_{|X}) =
$$

$$
= (-120\,\eta\theta + \frac{17}{2}\theta^2 - 16\,\theta\gamma - 9\,\pi_2^*(c_2) + (224\,\eta + 32\,\gamma - 33\,\theta)\pi_2^*(c_1) + 37\pi_2^*(c_1^2) \cdot [X] +
$$

$$
+ (168\,\eta + 24\,\gamma - 25\,\theta + 49\,\pi_2^*(c_1)) \cdot c_1(U) + 21c_1^2(U) =
$$

$$
= 1754\,\eta\theta\pi_2^*(c_2) + 1386\,\eta\pi_2^*(c_3) - 2498\,\eta\theta\pi_2^*(c_1^2) + 741\,\eta\theta^2\pi_2^*(c_1) - 4068\,\eta\pi_2^*(c_1)\pi_2^*(c_2) -
$$

$$
-51\,\eta\theta^3 + 2738\,\eta\pi_2^*(c_1^3),
$$

where the last expression lives inside  $H^4(C \times W^2_{17}(C))$ . Using [\[F5\]](#page-43-30) Propositions 2.6, each term in this sum is evaluated and we find that

$$
\sigma^*(C^1) \cdot c_2(\mathcal{F} - \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E})) = 691 \ \theta^{21}/1207084032000,
$$

which implies the stated formula for  $b_1$ .

**Theorem 7.11.** Let  $[C,q] \in M_{21,1}$  be a suitably general pointed curve and  $L \in W^6_{25}(C)$  a *linear series with a cusp at* q*. Then the multiplication map*

$$
Sym^2 H^0(C, L) \to H^0(C, L^{\otimes 2})
$$

*is injective. It follows that we have the relation*  $a - 12b_0 + b_1 = 0$ .

*Proof.* We consider the pencil  $R \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_q$  obtained by attaching to C at the point q a pencil of plane cubics. It is well-known that  $R \cdot \lambda = 1, R \cdot \delta_0 = 12$  and  $R \cdot \delta_1 = -1$ , thus the relation  $a-12b_0+b_1=0$  would be immediate once we show that  $R\cdot c_2(\mathcal{F}-\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E}))=$ 0. This follows because of the way the vector bundles  $\mathcal E$  and  $\mathcal F$  are defined over the boundary divisor  $\Delta_1^0$  of  $\mathcal{M}_{22}$ , by retaining the aspect of the limit linear series of the component of genus 21 and dropping the aspect of the elliptic component.

**Theorem 7.12.** Let  $[C,q] \in M_{21,1}$  be a Brill-Noether general pointed curve and denote by  $C^0$  ⊂  $\Delta_0$  the associated test curve. Then  $\sigma^*(C^0) \cdot c_2(\mathcal{F} - \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E})) = 42b_0 - b_1 = 4847375988$ . *It follows that*  $b_0 = 132822768$ *.* 

*Proof.* This time we look at the virtual degeneracy locus of the morphism  $Sym^2(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathcal{F}$ along the surface  $\sigma^*(C^0)$ . The first thing to note is that the vector bundles  $\mathcal{E}_{|\sigma^*(C^0)}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{|\sigma^*(C^0)}$  are both pull-backs of vector bundles on Y. For convenience we denote this vector bundles also by  $\mathcal E$  and  $\mathcal F$ , hence to use the notation of Proposition [7.4,](#page-35-0)  $\mathcal E_{|\sigma^*(C^0)} ) =$  $\epsilon^*(\mathcal{E}_{|Y})$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{|\sigma^*(C^0)} = \epsilon^*(\mathcal{F}_{|Y})$ . We find that

$$
\sigma^*(C^0) \cdot c_2(\mathcal{F} - \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E})) = c_2(\mathcal{F}_{|Y}) - c_1(\mathcal{F}_{|Y}) \cdot c_1(\mathcal{E}_{|Y}) + c_1^2(\mathcal{E}_{|Y}) - c_2(\mathcal{E}_{|Y})
$$

and like in the proof of Theorem [7.10,](#page-38-0) we are going to compute each term in this expression. We denote by  $V := \text{Ker}(\chi)$ , where  $\chi : \overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\vee} \to \pi_2^*(\mathcal{M})^{\vee}$  is the bundle morphism on  $C \times W^2_{17}(C)$  whose degeneracy locus is  $Y$  and which globalizes all the maps  $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_{y+q}(M))^{\vee} \to H^0(C, M)^{\vee}$ . Thus the kernel bundle V is a line bundle on Y with fibre

$$
V(y,M) = \frac{H^0(C,L)}{H^0(C,L \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-y-q))},
$$

over each point  $(y, M) \in Y$ , and where  $L := K_C \otimes M^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(y + q) \in W^6_{25}(C)$ . By using again the Harris-Tu Theorem, we find the following formulas for the Chern numbers of  $V:$ 

 $c_1(V) \cdot \xi_{|Y} = -(c_3(\pi_2^*(\mathcal{M})^{\vee} - \mathcal{B}^{\vee}) \cdot \xi_{|Y}) = (\pi_2^*(c_3) + \pi_2^*(c_2)(16\eta + \gamma) - 2\pi_2^*(c_1)\eta\theta) \cdot \xi_{|Y},$ for any class  $\xi \in H^2(C \times W^2_{17}(C))$ , and

$$
c_1^2(V) = c_4(\pi_2^*(\mathcal{M})^{\vee} - \mathcal{B}^{\vee}) = \pi_2^*(c_3)(16\eta + \gamma) - 2\pi_2^*(c_2)\eta\theta.
$$

Recall that we have introduced in Proposition [7.9](#page-38-1) the rank 28 vector bundle  $\mathcal{B}_2$  over  $C\times W^2_{17}(C)$  with fibre  ${\cal B}_2(y,M)=H^0(\overline{C},L^{\otimes 2}\otimes {\cal{O}}_C(-y-q)).$  We claim that one has an exact sequence of bundles over Y

(21) 
$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{2|Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{|Y} \longrightarrow V^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow 0.
$$

If  $\mathcal{B}_3$  is the rank 30 vector bundle on Y with fibres

$$
\mathcal{B}_3(y,M) = H^0(C, L^{\otimes 2}) = H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes 2} \otimes M^{\otimes (-2)} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(2y + 2q)),
$$

we have an injective morphism of sheaves  $V^{\otimes 2}\hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_3/\mathcal{B}_2$  locally given by

<span id="page-41-0"></span>
$$
v^{\otimes 2} \mapsto v^2 \bmod H^0(C, L^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-y-q)),
$$

where  $v \in H^0(C, L)$  is any section not vanishing at q and y. Then  $\mathcal{F}_{|Y}$  is canonically identified with the kernel of the projection morphism

$$
\mathcal{B}_3 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{B}_3/\mathcal{B}_2}{V^{\otimes 2}}
$$

and the exact sequence [\(21\)](#page-41-0) now becomes clear. Therefore  $c_1(\mathcal{F}_{|Y}) = c_1(\mathcal{B}_{2|Y}) + 2c_1(V)$ and  $c_2(\mathcal{F}_{|Y}) = c_2(\mathcal{B}_{2|Y}) + 2c_1(\mathcal{B}_{2|Y})c_1(V)$ . Reasoning along the lines of Theorem [7.10,](#page-38-0) we also have an exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \pi_2^*\big(R^1\pi_{2*}(\mathcal{P})\big)_{|Y}^{\vee} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{|Y} \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow 0
$$

and from this we obtain that

$$
c_1(\mathcal{E}_{|Y}) = -\theta + \pi_2^*(c_1) + c_1(V)
$$

and

$$
c_2(\mathcal{E}_{|Y}) = \frac{\theta^2}{2} + \pi_2^*(c_2) - \theta \pi_2^*(c_1) - \theta c_1(V) + c_1(V)\pi_2^*(c_1).
$$

All in all, we can write the following expression for the total intersection number:

$$
\sigma^*(C^0) \cdot c_2(\mathcal{F} - \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{E})) = c_2(\mathcal{B}_{2|Y}) + c_1(\mathcal{B}_{2|Y})c_1(V^{\otimes 2}) -
$$

$$
-8c_1(\mathcal{B}_{2|Y})c_1(\mathcal{E}_{|Y}) - 8c_1(\mathcal{E}_{|Y})c_1(V^{\otimes 2}) + 37c_1^2(\mathcal{E}_{|Y}) - 9c_2(\mathcal{E}_{|Y}) =
$$

$$
= \left(\frac{17}{2}\theta^2 + 28\eta\theta - 8\theta\gamma - 9\pi_2^*(c_2) + (16\gamma - 33\theta - 56\eta)\pi_2^*(c_1) + 37\pi_2^*(c_1^2)\right) \cdot [Y] +
$$

$$
+ (49\pi_2^*(c_1) - 25\theta - 42\eta + 12\gamma)c_1(V) + 21c_1^2(V) =
$$

$$
= 428 \eta \theta \pi_2^*(c_2) - 536 \eta \theta \pi_2^*(c_1^2) + 168 \eta \theta^2 \pi_2^*(c_1) - 984 \eta \pi_2^*(c_1) \pi_2^*(c_2) ++ 378 \eta \pi_2^*(c_3) - 17 \eta \theta^3 + 592 \eta \pi_2^*(c_1^3),
$$

and using once more [\[F5\]](#page-43-30) Proposition 2.6, we get that

$$
42b_0 - b_1 = 509\theta^{21}/5364817920000.
$$

Since we already know the value of  $b_1$  and  $a - 12b_0 + b_1 = 0$ , this allows us to calculate a and  $b_0$ .

*End of the proof of Theorem [7.1](#page-33-0). We write*  $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}_{22}\equiv a\lambda-\sum_{j=0}^{11}b_j\delta_j.$  *Since* 

$$
\frac{a}{b_0} = \frac{17121}{2636} \le \frac{71}{10},
$$

we are in a position to apply Corollary 1.2 from [\[FP\]](#page-43-23) which gives the inequalities  $b_i \geq b_0$ for  $1 \leq j \leq 11$ , hence  $s(\overline{2}_2) = a/b_0 < 13/2$ .

### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-42-9"></span>[ACV] D. Abramovich, A. Corti and A. Vistoli, *Twisted bundles and admissible coverings*, [math.AG/0106211,](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0106211) Comm. Algebra **31** (2003), 3547-3618.
- <span id="page-42-11"></span>[ACGH] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 267, Springer.
- <span id="page-42-14"></span>[AC1] E. Arbarello and M. Cornalba, *Footnotes to a paper of Beniamino Segre*, Mathematische Annalen **256** (1981), 341-362.
- <span id="page-42-15"></span>[AC2] E. Arbarello and M. Cornalba, *The Picard groups of the moduli spaces of curves*, Topology **26** (1987), 153-171.
- <span id="page-42-8"></span>[AP] V. Alexeev and R. Pardini, *Explicit compactifications of moduli spaces of Campedelli and Burniat surfaces*, [arXiv:0901.4431.](http://arxiv.org/abs/0901.4431)
- <span id="page-42-16"></span>[Ba] F. Bardelli, *Lectures on stable curves*, in: *Lectures on Riemann Surfaces*, (M. Cornalba, X. Gomez-Mont, A. Verjovsky eds.), World Scientific 1989, 648-70.
- <span id="page-42-5"></span>[BDPP] S. Boucksom, J.P. Demailly, M. Paun and T. Peternell, *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension*, [arXiv:math/0405285.](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0405285)
- <span id="page-42-4"></span>[BV] A. Bruno and A. Verra, M<sup>15</sup> *is rationally connected*, [math.AG/0501455,](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0501455) in: Projective varieties with unexpected properties, 51-65, Walter de Gruyter (2005).
- <span id="page-42-1"></span>[CR1] M. C. Chang and Z. Ran, *Unirationality of the moduli space of curves of genus* 11*,* 13 *(and* 12*)*, Inventiones Math. **76** (1984), 41-54.
- <span id="page-42-2"></span>[CR2] M. C. Chang and Z. Ran, *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves of genus* 15, J. Differential Geom. **24** (1986), 205-220.
- <span id="page-42-3"></span>[CR3] M. C. Chang and Z. Ran, *On the slope and Kodaira dimension of* M<sup>g</sup> *for small* g, J. Differential Geom. **34** (1991), 267-274.
- <span id="page-42-17"></span>[C] D. Chen, *Covers of elliptic curves and the lower bound for slopes of effective divisors*, [arXiv:0704.3994.](http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.3994)
- <span id="page-42-18"></span>[CHS] I. Coskun, J. Harris and J. Starr, *The effective cone of the Kontsevich moduli space*, Canadian Math. Bulletin **51** (2008), 519-534.
- <span id="page-42-7"></span>[CLM] C. Ciliberto, A. Lopez and R. Miranda, *Projective degenerations of* K3 *surfaces, Gaussian maps and Fano threefolds*, Inventiones Math. **114** (1993), 641-667.
- <span id="page-42-10"></span>[DM] P. Deligne and D. Mumford, *Irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus*, Publ. Math. IHES, **36** (1969), 75-110.
- <span id="page-42-6"></span>[Don] R. Donagi, *The unirationality of* A5, Annals of Math. **119** (1984), 269-307.
- <span id="page-42-13"></span>[EH1] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *Limit linear series: basic theory*, Inventiones Math. **85** (1986), 337-371.
- <span id="page-42-0"></span>[EH3] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves of genus* ≥ 23, Inventiones Math. **90** (1987), 359-387.
- <span id="page-42-12"></span>[EH2] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *A simpler proof of the Gieseker-Petri theorem on special divisors*, Inventiones Math. **74** (1983), 269-280.

- <span id="page-43-25"></span>[FaP] C. Faber and R. Pandharipande, *Hodge integrals and Gromow-Witten theory*, Inventiones Math. **139** (2000), 173-199.
- <span id="page-43-8"></span>[F1] G. Farkas, *The geometry of the moduli space of curves of genus* 23, Math. Annalen **318** (2000), 43-65.
- <span id="page-43-18"></span>[F2] G. Farkas, *Syzygies of curves and the effective cone of* Mg, Duke Math. Journal **135** (2006), 53-98.
- <span id="page-43-7"></span>[F3] G. Farkas, *Koszul divisors on moduli spaces of curves*, [math.AG/0607475,](http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0607475) American Journal Mathematics **131** (2009), 819-867.
- <span id="page-43-29"></span>[F4] G. Farkas, *Koszul divisors on moduli spaces of curves II: The case of positive Brill-Noether number*, in preparation.
- <span id="page-43-30"></span>[F5] G. Farkas, *Rational maps between moduli spaces of curves and Gieseker-Petri divisors*, [arXiv:0708.4188,](http://arxiv.org/abs/0708.4188) Journal of Algebraic Geometry (2009) to appear.
- <span id="page-43-23"></span>[FP] G. Farkas and M. Popa, *Effective divisors on*Mg*, curves on* K3 *surfaces and the Slope Conjecture*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **14** (2005), 241-267.
- <span id="page-43-17"></span>[FL] G. Farkas and K. Ludwig, *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of Prym varieties*, [arXiv:0804.4616,](http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.4616) Journal of European Mathematical Society (2009) to appear.
- <span id="page-43-10"></span>[Fr] E. Freitag, *Die Kodairadimension von K¨orpern automorpher Funktionen*, J. reine angew. Mathematik **296** (1977).
- <span id="page-43-20"></span>[Fu] W. Fulton, *Intersection theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **2**, Springer 1998.
- <span id="page-43-14"></span>[GHS] V. Gritsenko, K. Hulek, G. Sankaran, *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli of* K3 *surfaces*, Inventiones Math. **169** (2007), 519-567.
- <span id="page-43-28"></span>[GL] M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld, *On the projective normality of complete linear series on an algebraic curve*, Inventiones Math. **83** (1986), 73-90.
- <span id="page-43-2"></span>[God] L. Godeaux, *R´esultats r´ecents dans la th´eorie des modules des courbes alg´ebriques* Bulletin Sc. math. **2** (1931), 271-280.
- <span id="page-43-12"></span>[Gru] S. Grushevsky, *Geometry of* A<sup>g</sup> *and its compactifications*, [arXiv:0711.0094,](http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.0094) Algebraic Geometry Seattle 2005, Proceedings Symposia Pure Mathematics, **80** (2009), 193-234.
- <span id="page-43-16"></span>[H] P. Hacking, *Compact moduli of plane curves*, Duke Math. Journal **124** (2004), 213–257
- <span id="page-43-6"></span>[H1] J. Harris, *On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves II: The even genus case*, Inventiones Math. **75** (1984), 437-466.
- <span id="page-43-5"></span>[HM] J. Harris and D. Mumford, *On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves*, Inventiones Math. **67** (1982), 23-88.
- <span id="page-43-22"></span>[HMo] J. Harris and I. Morrison, *Slopes of effective divisors on the moduli space of curves*, Inventiones Math. **99** (1990), 321-355.
- <span id="page-43-1"></span>[Hu] A. Hurwitz, A, *Über Riemann'sche Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten*, Mathematische Annalen **39** (1891), 1- 61.
- <span id="page-43-19"></span>[La1] R. Lazarsfeld, *Brill-Noether-Petri without degenerations*, Journal Differential Geometry **23** (1986), 299- 307.
- <span id="page-43-27"></span>[La2] R. Lazarsfeld, *A sampling of vector bundle techniques in the study of linear series*, in: *Lectures on Riemann Surfaces*, (M. Cornalba, X. Gomez-Mont, A. Verjovsky eds.), World Scientific 1989, 500-559.
- <span id="page-43-9"></span>[Log] A. Logan, *The Kodaira dimension of moduli spaces of curves with marked points*, American Journal Mathematics **125** (2003), 105-138.
- <span id="page-43-13"></span>[K] S. Kondo, *On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of* K3 *surfaces*, Compositio Mathematica **116** (1999), 111-117.
- <span id="page-43-24"></span>[Kh] D. Khosla, *Moduli spaces of curves with linear series and the Slope Conjecture*, [arXiv:0704.1340.](http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.1340)
- <span id="page-43-0"></span>[GIT] D. Mumford and J. Fogarty, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Ergenbisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **34** (1982), Springer.
- <span id="page-43-21"></span>[M1] D. Mumford, *Picard groups of moduli problems*, in: Proceedings of a Conference in Arithmetic Algebraic Geometry, Harper and Row 1965.
- <span id="page-43-4"></span>[M2] D. Mumford, *Stability of projective varieties*, L'Enseignement Math. **23** (1977), 39-110.
- <span id="page-43-3"></span>[M3] D. Mumford, *Problems of present day mathematics -VI: Algebraic geometry*, in: Mathematical developments arising from Hilbert problems, Proc. Symposia Pure Math. **28** (1976).
- <span id="page-43-15"></span>[M4] D. Mumford, *Curves and their Jacobians*, The University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor 1975.
- <span id="page-43-11"></span>[M5] D. Mumford, *On the Kodaira dimension of the Siegel modular variety*, in: Algebraic Geometry-open problems, Lecture Notes Mathematics **997** 348-375, Springer.
- <span id="page-43-26"></span>[M6] D. Mumford, *Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves*, in: Arithmetic and Geometry, Birkhäuser, 1983, 271-238.
- [Mo] A. Moriwaki, *The* Q*-Picard group of the moduli space of curves in positive characteristic*, International Journal Math. **12** (2001), 519-534.
- <span id="page-44-9"></span>[Mu1] S. Mukai, *Curves,* K3 *surfaces and Fano manifolds of genus* ≤ 10, in: Algebraic Geometry in honor of M. Nagata (1988), 367-377.
- <span id="page-44-10"></span>[Mu2] S. Mukai, *Curves and symmetric spaces I*, American Journal Math. **117** (1995), 1627-1644.
- <span id="page-44-11"></span>[Mu3] S. Mukai, *Curves and symmetric spaces II*, preprint.
- [Mu4] S. Mukai, *Polarized* K3 *surfaces of genus* 18 *and* 20, in: Complex Projective Geometry, London Math. Society Lecture Note Series **179**, 264-276.
- <span id="page-44-2"></span>[O] F. Oort, *Coarse and fine moduli spaces of algebraic curves and polarized abelian varieties*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math Vol. XXIV, 1981, 293-313.
- <span id="page-44-13"></span>[P] R. Pandharipande, *Descendent bounds for effective divisors on the moduli space of curves*, [arXiv:0805.0601.](http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.0601)
- <span id="page-44-17"></span>[PR] K. Paranjape and S. Ramanan, *On the canonical ring of a curve*, in: Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra in honor of M. Nagata, (1987), 503-516.
- <span id="page-44-0"></span>[S] F. Severi, *Sulla classificazione delle curve algebriche e sul teorema d'esistenza di Riemann*, Rendiconti della R. Accad. Naz. Lincei **24** (1915), 877-888.
- <span id="page-44-4"></span>[Se1] E. Sernesi, *L'unirazionalitá della varietá dei moduli delle curve di genere* 12, Ann. Scuola Normale Sup. Pisa, **8** (1981), 405-439.
- <span id="page-44-8"></span>[Se2] E. Sernesi, *On the existence of families of curves*, Inventiones Math. **75** (1984), 25-57.
- <span id="page-44-1"></span>[Seg] B. Segre, *Sui moduli delle curve algebriche*, Annali di Matematica **4** (1930), 71-102.
- <span id="page-44-12"></span>[ST] F. Schreyer and F. Tonoli, *Needles in a haystack: special varieties via small fields*, in: Mathematical computations with Macaulay 2, Springer-Verlag (2002).
- <span id="page-44-15"></span>[St] J. Stevens, *On the number of points determining a canonical curve*, Indagationes Math. **92** (1989), 485- 494.
- <span id="page-44-6"></span>[T] Y.-S. Tai, *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of abelian varieties*, Inventiones Math. **68** (1982), 425-439.
- <span id="page-44-5"></span>[Ve] A. Verra, *The unirationality of the moduli space of curves of genus* ≤ 14 , Compositio Mathematica **141** (2005), 1425-1444.
- <span id="page-44-7"></span>[Ve2] A. Verra, *On the universal principally polarized abelian variety of dimension 4*, [arXiv:0711.3890.](http://arxiv.org/abs/0711.3890)
- <span id="page-44-16"></span>[V1] C. Voisin, *Sur l'application de Wahl des courbes satisfaisant la condition de Brill-Noether-Petri*, Acta Mathematica **168** (1992), 249-262.
- <span id="page-44-3"></span>[V2] C. Voisin, *Green's generic syzygy conjecture for generic curves of odd genus*, Compositio Mathematica **141** (2005), 1163-1190.
- <span id="page-44-14"></span>[W] E. Witten, *Two dimensional gravity and intersection theory on moduli space*, Surveys in Differential Geometry **1** (1991), 243-310.

HUMBOLDT UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, 10099 BERLIN

*E-mail address*: farkas@math.hu-berlin.de